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TRUSTEE GOODE: I hereby convene this
meeting of the Cook County and Forest Preserve
Annuity and Benefit Fund Emerging Manager
Investment Subcommittee for today, Tuesday, May 25
2021.

Because of the uncertainty surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic, the Committee is adhering to the guidance provided most recently by the Governor's April 30, 2021 Disaster Proclamation, as well as the provisions of Public Act 101-0640.

There may not be a quorum of trustees physically present at the meeting location. The remaining trustees will participate by video conference as allowed by the Governor's Executive Order.

For the record, the public has received notice of this meeting and of their ability to participate by videoconference or to be physically present at the meeting. In addition, the Fund is recording this meeting and a transcript of the proceedings will, after future approval by the Committee, be made available on the Fund's website.

Peggy, can you please call the roll?

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Present.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.

TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Here.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Here.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Wilson.
TRUSTEE WILSON: Here.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: $I$ am here. $I$ am not on the committee.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Thank you. We have a quorum for today's meeting.

Consistent with Public Act 91-0715 and reasonable constraints determined by the Board of Trustees, at each meeting of the Board or its committees, members of the public may request a brief time to address the Trustees on relevant matters within its jurisdiction.

Are there any requests for public comment today? If any member of the public wants to speak, please identify yourself for the record.

Hearing none, we will proceed to the public business matters for today.
$\square$
Item 1, Review and Approval of the
November 5, 2020 Emerging Manager Investment Subcommittee Meeting Minutes and Transcript. Can I get a motion?

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: So moved.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Second.
TRUSTEE GOODE: All in favor?

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Wilson.
PRESIDENT WILSON: Aye.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Motion passes.
We are going to rearrange the Agenda a little bit today. We are going to start with what is designated Item 3 next, Real Estate Equity/Debt RFP discussion.

Fernando, can you begin the discussion, please?

MR. VINZONS: Sure, Trustee Goode.
Turning to this memo that we sent out
titled Emerging Manger Utilization Update and Real
Estate Search Discussion.

So, typically, we would review
performance, because later on Callan would review performance, we are not doing that. But we are going to, just as a background to the search, review the current utilization versus targets.

And, if you will notice, the last time we gave you an update, which was in June, our utilization numbers have not significantly changed, but I will point some of the differences through the end of March of 2021 and then discuss a little bit about what those numbers could look like given some of the recent actions that have taken place and we will also reflect the search that we're going to be providing, then provide a review and then you will perhaps provide approval later on today.

Starting with domestic equity, the
numbers haven't changed significantly from the year last year. They have gone up a little bit because of the market, but if you recall the numbers went down significantly against the target of 17 to 20 percent because of Progress, when we lost Progress
at the beginning of 2020.
International equity has gone down a little bit, but if you will notice on a pro forma basis that will tick back up quite a bit to 11.5 once we reflect Boston Common. Boston Common is an emerging manager that was hired to replace JP Morgan's EAFE mandate and that did not start until April so that's not part of the March numbers here.

Fixed income did see quite a market reduction from 30.1 to 21.6 . All owing to the fact that Xponance is now categorized as a minority manager. They have assets over $\$ 10$ billion prior to -- I don't have the exact date but they were still below $\$ 10$ billion but because of wins and the market, they are at $I$ believe $\$ 12$ billion and so they are now classified as minority.

We will discuss a minority policy in a little bit.

Then the last category that we track on an asset class basis is alternatives. You will notice alternatives have ticked up from 6 to 7.3 percent because again also of a very strong alternatives market as well.

The search that you will be contemplating
later on, that Callan will discuss and $I$ will give you a little preview a little bit, that $\$ 50$ million will take up the pro forma up to 9.2 percent so we will be squarely within the range, target range of 5 to 20 percent.

Those are the highlights for the utilization. And then SEGVE into the search, again this is for a real estate search, private real estate. We have two alternative managers currently. One is in public real estate and that is Adelante and the only other private real estate manager we have is Artemis. We committed $\$ 50$ million. It called $\$ 15$ million to-date. There is activity that is starting to pick back up in real estate since last year so we will have a little bit more of an update on that firm at the August meeting.

But with this search, again, we are contemplating a commitment of $\$ 50$ million. We are talking about potentially sourcing one or two, depending on who responds and the appeal of the response is based on how strongly the responses come in. We may select one or two.

It is not as restrictive as in other
searches that we have done. We are going to contemplate either closed or open end real estate, equity or debt.

And Callan has been kind enough to update the pipeline of opportunities on the MWDBE front. They have at least identified I know between 12 to 16 on the equity side and about 4 to 5 managers on the debt side. There is a number of managers that we think that will be able to respond to this search.

The timetable is a little compressed because some of these funds are closing before the end of the year. So, we would like to be finished or wrapped up by August, which will allow us time to work through the side-letter and we'll discuss that as well because $I$ believe there is a template that Burke, Burns and Pinelli is working on for us so that we can, again, also compress the amount of time it takes for us to go through contracting and address issues in advance.

But, overall, we think that this will be an interesting search. Real estate is one of those last areas where we have seen less new firms but we are seeing more and more of them incept. I think
this will be interesting to see what is out there and who has got some good products.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Anybody have any
questions?
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: This is Trustee Nevius. I have one question. It is not a question, I just need a refresher of the definition of alternative emerging manager.

MR. VINZONS: Sure, Trustee Nevius.
Emerging managers are firms that are
under $\$ 10$ billion that are either minority, female owned or disabled owned.

And then alternatives, what we consider alternatives, are real estate, private equity and hedge funds. The other categories within alternatives are infrastructure and private credit and commodities, but we don't have allocations to those other alternative asset classes.

TRUSTEE NEVIUS: So it is primarily real estate; is that correct?

MR. VINZONS: For this search, correct.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Yes, for this search.
Thank you, very much. I appreciate it.
MR. VINZONS: You're welcome.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Any other questions?
I am going to move to what is marked as Item 2, Review and Consideration of the Minority Utilization Policy.

Gina and Fernando have been working on this matter.

Gina, would you please give the committee an update.

MS. TUCZAK: Certainly. In our review of some documents earlier this year, it came to my attention that the Pension Code requires that we have a Minority Utilization Policy and that we set goals for specific classes of groups such as women, minority and disabled.

Currently, we have a policy for emerging managers but the Pension Code also requires that we have that for minority. What is interesting is that we have a nice bench of minority managers that are over the $\$ 10$ billion that we were just discussing and it was just mentioned.

We actually have a memo that we put together that kind of outlined what the Pension Code says and shows a little bit of definition of the minority versus the emerging.
$\square$ emerging managers that we have in our portfolio that have under $\$ 10$ billion of assets and those minority managers that have over $\$ 10$ billion.

So, you can see that we have a lot of money in the minority managers over $\$ 10$ billion with Rhumbline, Ariel, Xponance, Garcia Hamilton, and Rock Creek.

What we need to do, and Fernando and I have working on this and we are not quite ready with the documents for the Investment Policy Statement, but what we need to do is specifically carve out what the goals are for this class of minority managers that have over $\$ 10$ billion in assets and specific goals for women owned, minority owned and disabled owned.

The tricky thing is is that in some cases, in fact many of the cases of the managers in our portfolio, when we hired them they were emerging so they had under $\$ 10$ billion. And then due to performance with assets growing with the market and additional mandates that they were able to secure, they came over that $\$ 10$ billion dollar threshold so they are no longer classified as
emerging but that doesn't mean that we are not still committed to retaining them in our portfolio along with others.

That is something that we are going to work on and we're going to have something back to you in the August meeting.

We have been in contact with fiduciary
counsel on the specifics of the Pension Code and how to go about putting this together and we will come back to you on this matter.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Does anybody have any questions?

This is just an update, we don't need to take any action?

MS. TUCZAK: Right. At this time we are not ready to provide a policy statement for approval so it's just for your information. We hope to have something at the August meeting.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Next is Item Number 4, Review of 2021 Broker/Dealer Utilization. The next item involves our broker dealer utilization.

Gina or Fernando, would you please present on this matter?

MS. TUCZAK: I will have Fernando do
that. He put together this nice document so go ahead.

MR. VINZONS: Actually, I will have Virgilio chime in as well because he did most of the work. Do you want to go over the results, Virgilio?

MR. CALAHONG: Yes, sure. So, I am going to go over the minority brokerage utilization results from 2020 , if you will turn to that last memo in the packet.

If you recall, we have a minimum annual trading for the Fund for both equity and fixed income. So, the measurable for equity is commission dollars going to minority brokers. Whereas, at the measurable for fixed income is the par volume of bonds traded going to minority brokers.

If you recall, we actually revised these minimal annual goals higher in December of last year. Basically to push our managers to reach for higher results. And, with all due respect to them, they actually have met the goals and many have exceeded the goals.

The results are noted in the table in the
middle. The current target, which as I mentioned was made in effect as of December last year, is in the second to right-hand most column and the previous target which was in effect from January to November of last year is in the right-hand most column.

You will see results for each of Cook County, Forest Preserve, and a consolidated basis.

So, in terms of the current target, only two asset classes missed the targets, that was international equity small cap and transition management.

However, it's more appropriate to discuss results with respect to the prior target because managers were trading under different assumptions for the majority of the year January through November.

So, with that respect, only one asset class missed the target and that was transition management.

If you recall last year, there was only one transition event, that was the Progress liquidation in January of 2020. That was managed by Citibank and they unfortunately did not have any
trades with minority brokers.
The results for each individual managers are on the following page and with respect to the managers there were only three that missed their targets.

The first was Frontier Capital
Management, which missed the domestic equity target by 1.2 percent.

Wells Fargo, which continues to report zero percent trading with minority broker dealers primarily due to its emerging market strategy where there is not a lot of volume with minority broker dealers.

And the last was BNY Mellon, which missed fixed income target by 4.6 percent.

So, if there is no questions, $I$ will pass the torch back to Fernando for the next steps.

MS. TUCZAK: I will just speak to that. What we did last year with managers that were not meeting the target is we had calls with them. It was virtual calls during the pandemic. And discussed the importance -- reiterated the importance, reiterated the targets and the goals, and that would be the first step.
$\square$
So, our plan is with respect to Frontier and BNY Mellon, we are planning to discuss our concerns with them and see if there is anything that we can do to assist them, try to understand why they are not in compliance, and again stress the importance of these goals to the Fund. Then we can come back to you and see how they are able to make any progress.

With respect to Wells Fargo, that is really a niche market, the international equity emerging markets. There is not a lot of opportunity for minority broker utilization in that space. So, we are going to take kind of a hard look at our targets and our goals and see if we maybe need to carve out this particular asset class because it is unique and the availability of brokerage firms in this space is not the same as any of the other markets on this page.

So that is the current plan on what the next steps will be.

MR. VINZONS: The other thing, to Gina's credit again, we had one manager last year, William Blair, that we had noted that was under. And we did the outreach and we had several conversations
with them and we had back and forth and they resolved to fix it and they did. So these discussions do lead to improvement and eventually compliance.

TRUSTEE GOODE: You will contact them and
have a report at the next meeting?
MS. TUCZAK: Yes.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Does anybody have any questions, comments, concerns?

Gina, Fernando, do you have anything else?

MS. TUCZAK: I don't have anything.
MR. VINZONS: I don't have anything.
TRUSTEE GOODE: That is it for the emerging manager meeting. Could $I$ have a motion to adjourn?

TRUSTEE OCHALLA. So move.
TRUSTEE WILSON: Second.

Trustee Goode: All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
Motion passes. Meeting adjourned.
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```
STATE OF ILLINOIS )
                                    ) SS.
COUNTY OF DU PAGE )
```

DEBORAH TYRRELL, being a Certified Shorthand Reporter, on oath says that she is a court reporter doing business in the County of DuPage and State of Illinois, that she reported in shorthand the proceedings given at the taking of said cause and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of her shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid; and contains all the proceedings given at said cause.


| \$ | 9 |  | brokerage [2] - 14:8, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 10[10]-7: 12,7: 14 \\ 10: 11,11: 19,12: 3 \\ 12: 4,12: 6,12: 14 \\ 12: 20,12: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.2[1]-8: 3 \\ & 91-0715[1]-4: 14 \\ & 9: 00[1]-1: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 6:17, 13:17 <br> Approval ${ }_{[1]}-5: 1$ <br> April [2] - 3:9, 7:8 <br> areas [1]-9:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { brokers }[3]-14: 14,^{\text {14:17, } 16: 1} \\ & \text { Burke }[1]-9: 17 \\ & \text { Burns }[1]-9: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { compliance [2] - 17:5, } \\ & \text { 18:4 } \\ & \text { compress [1] - 9:18 } \\ & \text { compressed [1] - 9:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12[1]-7: 15 \\ & \$ 15[1]-8: 13 \end{aligned}$ | A | Ariel [1] - 12:7 <br> Artemis [1]-8:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { business [2] - 4:24, } \\ & 20: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { concerns [2] - 17:3, } \\ & 18: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50[3]-8: 2,8: 12, \\ & 8: 19 \end{aligned}$ | a.m [1] $-1: 10$ <br> ability [1] - 3:17 <br> able[3]-9.9, 12.22, | $\begin{gathered} \text { asset }[5]-7: 20,10: 18 \\ 15: 10,15: 18,17: 15 \end{gathered}$ | C | conference ${ }_{[1]}-3: 14$ <br> consider ${ }_{[1]}-10: 13$ |
| 0 | 17:7 <br> ABOVE [1] - 18:24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 12:15, 12:21 } \\ \text { assist }[1]-17: 4 \end{gathered}$ | CALAHONG ${ }_{[1]}-14: 7$ <br> Calahong [1] - 2:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:3 } \\ & \text { consistent }[1]-4: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 084-001078 [1] - 20:18 | above-entitled ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { assumptions [1] - } \\ & 15: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Callan }[4]-2: 10,6: 4, \\ 8: 10: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { consolidated }{ }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & \text { 15:8 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | ABOVE-ENTITLED ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\mathbf{A T}_{[1]}-19: 1$ |  | constraints [1]-4:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}[1]-5: 1 \\ & \mathbf{1 . 2}[1]-16: 8 \\ & \mathbf{1 0 1 - 0 6 4 0}[1]-3: 10 \\ & \mathbf{1 1 . 5}{ }^{[1]}-\mathbf{7 : 4} \\ & \mathbf{1 2}[1]-9: 6 \\ & \mathbf{1 6}[1]-9: 7 \\ & \mathbf{1 7}[1]-6: 23 \end{aligned}$ | Act ${ }_{[2]}-3: 10,4: 14$ <br> action [1] - 13:14 <br> actions [1] - 6:14 <br> activity $[1]$ - 8:14 <br> addition [1] - 3:19 <br> additional [1]-12:22 <br> address [2] - 4:18, <br> 9:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { audio/video }[1]-1: 8 \\ & \text { August }[4]-8: 16, \\ & \text { 9:14, 13:6, } 13: 18 \\ & \text { availability }[1]-17: 16 \\ & \text { available }[1]-3: 22 \\ & \text { aye }[3]-5: 9,5: 13, \\ & 5: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Caroline }[1]-2: 6^{\text {carve }_{[2]}-12: 13,} \\ & \text { 17:15 } \\ & \text { cases }[2]-12: 18 \\ & \text { categories }[1]-10: 15^{\text {categorized }_{[1]}-7: 11} \\ & \text { category }[1]-7: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 18:5 <br> contains [1] - 20:13 <br> contemplate ${ }_{[1]}$-9:2 <br> contemplating [2] - 7:24, 8:19 <br> continues [1] - 16:9 <br> contracting ${ }_{[1]}$ - 9:19 |
| 2 | Adelante [1]-8:11 | ayes [1] - 18:20 | Certified [1]-20:6 | conversations [1] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2}_{[1]}-11: 3 \\ & 20[2]-6: 23,8: 5 \end{aligned}$ | adjourn [1] - 18:16 <br> adjourned [1] - 18:21 | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:20 } \\ & \text { Chicago [1] - 1:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{COOK}_{[1]}-1: 3 \\ & \operatorname{Coor}_{[3]}-1: 9,3: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2020[4]-5: 2,7: 1, \\ & 14: 9,15: 23 \\ & 2021[5]-1: 9,3: 5,3: 9, \\ & 6: 12,13: 20 \\ & 21.6[1]-7: 10 \\ & \mathbf{2 3 0}[1]-1: 8 \\ & \mathbf{2 5}[2]-1: 9,3: 4 \end{aligned}$ | Administration [1] - 2:8 <br> Administrator [1] - 2:9 <br> advance [1] - 9:20 <br> Advisor [1] - 2:6 <br> aforesaid [1] - 20:12 <br> Agenda [1] - 5:17 | background ${ }_{[1]}-6: 6$ <br> based [1]-8:22 <br> basis [3] - 7:4, 7:20, <br> 15:8 <br> begin ${ }_{[1]}-5: 21$ <br> beginning ${ }_{[1]}-7: 1$ <br> below [1]-7:14 | chime [1] - 14:4 <br> Chorus [1] - 18:20 <br> Chung [1] - 2:10 <br> Citibank [1]-15:24 <br> City ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:9 <br> class [4]-7:20, 12:13, <br> 15:19, 17:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:7 } \\ & \text { correct }[3]-10: 20, \\ & \text { 10:21, } 20: 11 \\ & \text { counsel }[1]-13: 8 \\ & \text { COUNTY }[2]-1: 3, \\ & 20: 2 \\ & \text { County }[4]-1: 9,3: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ahead }_{[1]}-14: 2 \\ & \text { ALL }_{[1]}-18: 23 \end{aligned}$ | bench [1]-11:18 <br> Benefit [1] - 3:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { classes }[3]-10: 18 \\ 11: 13,15: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15: 8,20: 8 \\ \text { court }[1]-20: 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3}_{[1]}-5: 19 \\ & \mathbf{3 0}_{[1]}-3: 9 \\ & \mathbf{3 0 . 1}_{[1]}-7: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { allocations }[1]-10: 17^{\text {allow }[1]-9: 14} \\ & \text { allowed }_{[1]}-3: 14 \\ & \text { ALSO }_{[1]}-2: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Benefits }[1]-2: 8^{\text {between }[1]-9: 6} \\ & \text { billion }[11]-7: 12, \\ & 7: 14,7: 15,10: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { classified }[2]-7: 16^{12: 24} \\ & \text { closed }_{[1]}-9: 2 \\ & \text { closing }_{[1]}-9: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COVID-19 }_{[1]}-3: 7 \\ & \text { credit }[2]-10: 16, \\ & 17: 22 \\ & \text { Creek }_{[1]}-12: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { alternative }[3]-8: 9, \\ 10: 7,10: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:19, 12:3, 12:4, } \\ & \text { 12:6, 12:14, 12:20, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Code [4] - 11:11, } \\ & \text { 11:16, 11:23, 13:8 } \end{aligned}$ | CSR [1] - 20:17 <br> current [4]-6:7, 15:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4_{[2]}-9: 7,13: 19 \\ & 4.6[1]-16: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { alternatives }[6]-7: 20, \\ & 7: 21,7: 23,10: 13, \\ & 10: 14,10: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:23 } \\ & \text { bit }[9]-5: 18,6: 13 \\ & 6: 21,7: 3,7: 4,7: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { column [2] - 15:3, } \\ & 15: 6 \end{aligned}$ $\text { commencing }[1]-1: 9$ | 15:9, 17:19 |
| 5 | amount ${ }^{11]}$ - 9:18 <br> Analyst ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:9 | $\begin{gathered} 8: 2,8: 15,11: 2 \\ \text { Blair }_{[1]}-17: 23 \end{gathered}$ | comment [1] - 4:20 comments [1]-18:9 | date [2]-7:13, 8:13 |
| $5[3]-5: 2,8: 5,9: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AND }_{[2]}-1: 3,19: 1 \\ & \text { Ann }{ }_{[1]}-2: 10 \end{aligned}$ | BNY ${ }_{[2]}$ - 16:14, 17:2 <br> BOARD ${ }_{[2]}-1: 2,2: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { commission }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { - } \\ & \text { [14 } \end{aligned}$ | DATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 19:1 <br> dealer ${ }_{[1]}-13: 21$ |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { annual }[2]-14: 11, \\ & 14: 19 \end{aligned}$ | Board [2] - 4:15, 4:16 <br> bonds [1] - 14:16 | commitment ${ }_{[1]}-8: 19$ <br> committed [2] - 8:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dealers }[2]-16: 10, \\ & 16: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $6[1]-7: 21$ | Annuity ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:3 appeal [1]-8:21 | Boston [2]-7:5 <br> Brent $[1]$ - 2:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:2 } \\ & \text { committee [2] - 4:11, } \end{aligned}$ | DEBBIE [1] - 20:17 <br> DEBORAH [1] - 20:6 |
| 7 | APPEARANCES ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:1 | brief [1]-4:18 <br> broker [4]-13:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 7 \\ & \text { Committee }[2]-3: 7 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | debt [2] - 9:3, 9:8 <br> December [2]-14:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7.3[1]-7: 21 \\ & 70_{[1]}-1: 8 \end{aligned}$ | appreciate ${ }_{[1]}-10: 23$ appropriate [1] - | 16:10, 16:12, 17:12 <br> Broker/Dealer [1] - 13:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 22 \\ & \text { committees }[1]-4: 17 \\ & \text { commodities }[1]- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:2 } \\ & \text { definition [2]-10:7, } \\ & \text { 11:23 } \end{aligned}$ |


| ```Deputy \({ }_{[1]}-2: 6\) designated \({ }_{[1]}\) - 5:19 determined \({ }_{[1]}\) - 4:15 Diahann [1]-2:2 differences \({ }_{[1]}\) - 6:11 different \({ }_{[1]}-15: 15\) Director [6] - 2:5, 2:6, 2:7, 2:7, 2:8, 2:8 disabled [3] - 10:12, 11:14, 12:16 Disaster [1] - 3:9 discuss [6] - 6:12, 7:17, 8:1, 9:15, 15:13, 17:2 discussed [1] - 16:22 discussing [1] - 11:20 discussion [2]-5:20, 5:21 Discussion [1] - 6:2 discussions [1] - 18:3 DISTRICT \({ }_{[1]}-1: 3\) document [1]-14:1 documents [2] - 11:10, 12:11 dollar [1]-12:23 dollars [1] - 14:14 domestic [2]-6:19, 16:7 done \({ }_{[1]}\) - 9:1 down [2]-6:23, 7:2 DU \({ }_{[1]}\) - 20:2 due [3]-12:21, 14:21, 16:11 DuPage [1] - 20:8 during [1] - 16:21``` <br> E ```EAFE \(_{[1]}-7: 7\) effect [2]-15:2, 15:4 either [2]-9:2, 10:11 EMERGING [1] - 1:1 emerging [11] - 7:6, 10:8, 10:10, 11:15, 11:24, 12:2, 12:20, 13:1, 16:11, 17:11, 18:15 Emerging [3] - 3:3, 5:2, 6:1 EMPLOYEES \({ }_{[1]}-1: 3\) end \([3]-6: 12,9: 2\), 9:13 ENTITLED [1] - 18:24 entitled [1] - 1:8 equity [10] \(-6: 19,7: 2\), 9:3, 9:7, 10:14, 14:12, 14:13, 15:11, 16:7, 17:10 Equity/Debt [1] - 5:19 estate [9]-8:8, 8:9,``` | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 10,8: 11,8: 15,9: 2 \text {, } \\ & 9: 22,10: 14,10: 20 \\ & \text { Estate }[2]-5: 19,6: 2 \\ & \text { event }[1]-15: 22 \\ & \text { eventually }[1]-18: 3 \\ & \text { exact }[1]-7: 13 \\ & \text { exceeded }[1]-14: 23 \\ & \text { Executive }[3]-2: 5, \\ & 2: 6,3: 14 \end{aligned}$F <br> fact $[2]-7: 10,12: 18$ <br> FAHRENBACH <br> 4:1, ${ }^{2} 4: 3,4: 5,4: 7$, <br> $4: 9,5: 8,5: 10,5: 12$, <br> $5: 14$ <br> Fahrenbach $[1]-2: 6$ <br> Fargo $[2]-16: 9,17: 9$ <br> favor $[2]-5: 7,18: 19$ <br> female $[1]-10: 11$ <br> Fernando $[8]-2: 8$, <br> $5: 21,11: 5,12: 9$, <br> $13: 22,13: 24,16: 17$, <br> 18:10 <br> fiduciary $[1]-13: 7$ <br> Finance $[1]-2: 7$ <br> Financial $[1]-2: 10$ <br> finished $[1]-9: 13$ <br> firm $[1]-8: 16$ <br> firms $[3]-9: 23,10: 10$, <br> $17: 17$ <br> first $[2]-16: 6,16: 24$ <br> fix $[1]-18: 2$ <br> fixed $[4]-7: 9,14: 12$, <br> $14: 1], 16: 15$ <br> following $[1]-16: 3$ <br> foregoing $[1]-20: 11$ <br> FOREST $[1]-1: 3$ <br> Forest $[2]-3: 2,15: 8$ <br> forma $[2]-7: 3,8: 3$ <br> forth $[1]-18: 1$ <br> front $[1]-9: 5$ <br> Frontier $[2]-16: 6$, <br> $17: 1$ <br> Fund $[4]-3: 3,3: 19$, <br> $14: 12,17: 6$ <br> Fund's $[1]-3: 22$ <br> funds $[2]-9: 12,10: 15$ <br> future $[1]-3: 21$G <br> Gar $_{[1]}-2: 10$ <br> Garcia $_{[1]}-12: 7$ <br> Gary $[1]-2: 8$ <br> Gina $[5]-2: 5,11: 5$, <br> 11:7, 13:22, 18:10 <br> Gina's $[1]-17: 21$ <br> given $[3]-6: 13,20: 10$, | ```20:13 goals [9]-11:13, 12:13, 12:15, 14:19, 14:22, 14:23, 16:23, 17:6, 17:14 Goode [5] - 2:2, 4:1, 5:8, 5:23, 18:19 GOODE [13]-3:1, 4:2, 4:12, 5:7, 5:9, 5:16, 10:3, 11:1, 13:11, 13:19, 18:5, 18:8, 18:14 Governor's [2] - 3:9, 3:14 groups [1] - 11:13 growing [1] - 12:21 guidance [1] - \(3: 8\)```$\mathbf{H}$ <br> Hamilton ${ }_{[1]}-12: 7$ <br> hand $_{[2]}-15: 3,15: 5$ <br> hard $_{[1]}-17: 13$ <br> hearing $_{[1]}-4: 23$ <br> hedge $_{[1]}-10: 15$ <br> held $_{[1]}-1: 8$ <br> hereby $_{[1]}-3: 1$ <br> higher $_{[2]}-14: 19$, <br> 14:21 <br> highlights $[1]-8: 6$ <br> hired $[2]-7: 6,12: 19$ <br> hope $[1]-13: 18$ <br> hour $[1]-1: 9$ <br> identified ${ }_{[1]}-9: 6$ <br> identify [1] - 4:22 <br> ILLINOIS [1] - 20:1 <br> Illinois [2]-1:9, 20:9 <br> importance [3]- $16: 22,16: 23,17: 6$ <br> improvement [1] - 18:3 <br> IN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 18:24 <br> incept ${ }_{[1]}$ - 9:24 <br> income [4]-7:9, <br> 14:13, 14:15, 16:15 <br> individual [1] - 16:2 <br> information [1] - 13:17 <br> infrastructure ${ }_{[1]}$ - $10: 16$ <br> interesting [3]-9:22, 10:1, 11:17 <br> international [3]-7:2, 15:11, 17:10 <br> Investment [5] - 2:9, $2: 10,3: 4,5: 2,12: 11$ <br> INVESTMENT ${ }_{[1]}-1: 1$ | Investments [1] - 2:8 <br> involves [1] - 13:21 <br> issues [1] - 9:20 <br> IT [1]-2:9 <br> item [2] - 5:1, 13:21 <br> Item [3]-5:19, 11:3, <br> 13:19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 16:10, 16:12, 17:12 | 12 | potentially ${ }_{[1]}-8: 20$ | ble [1] - 4:15 | secure [1] - 12:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minutes [1]-5:3 | OF [4]-1:2, 1:7, 20:1, | PRESENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - $2: 5$ | received [1]-3:16 | see [7]-7:9, 10:1, |
| missed [5] - 15:10 | 20:2 | present [3]-3:12 | recent ${ }_{[1]}$-6:14 | 12:5, 15:7, 17:3, |
| :19, 16:4, 16:7 | nce [1] - 7:5 | 3:19, 13:2 | recently ${ }_{[1]}-3: 8$ | 17:7, 17:14 |
| 16:14 | one [8]-8:10, 8:20 | Present ${ }_{[1]}-4: 2$ | record [2]-3:16, 4:22 | seeing [1] - 9:24 |
| money [1] - 12:6 | 8:23, 9:22, 10:6, | Preserve [2]-3:2, | recording [1] - 3:20 | SEGVE [1] - 8:7 |
| Morgan's [1] - 7:7 | 15:18, 15:22, 17:22 | 5:8 | reduction [1] - 7:10 | select [1] - 8:23 |
| most [4] - 3:8, 14:4 | open [1] - 9:2 | PRESIDENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 5:15 | reflect [2] - 6:15, 7: | Senior [1]-2:9 |
| 15:3, 15:5 | opportunities [1] - 9:5 | preview [1]-8:2 | refresher [1] - 10:7 | sent [1]-5:24 |
| motion [3] - 5:4, 5:16, | opportunity [1] | previous [1] - 15:4 | reiterated [2]-16:22, | Services [1]-2 |
| 18:15 | 17:12 | primarily [2] - 10:19 | 16:23 | set $[1]-11: 12$ |
| Motion [1] - 18:21 | Order [1] - 3:15 | 16:11 | relevant [1] - 4:1 | several [1] - 17:24 |
| move [2] - 11:2, | outlined [1] - 1 | private [4] | remaining [1] - 3:13 | shorthand [2]-20 |
| moved [1] - 5:5 | outreach [1] - 17:24 | 10:14, 10:1 | replace ${ }_{[1]}-7: 6$ | 20:1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { MR }_{[7]}-5: 23,10: 9 \\ \text { 10:21, 14:3, 14:7 } \\ 17: 21,18: 13 \end{gathered}$ | overall [1] - 9:21 | pro [2]-7:3, 8: | report [2]-16:9, 18: | Shorthand [1]-20:6 |
|  | owing [1] - 7:10 | proceed [1] - 4:2 | REP | shows [2] - 11:2 |
|  | owned [5] - 10:12, | PROCEEDINGS ${ }_{[2]}$ | reported ${ }_{[1]}$ - 20:9 | 12:1 |
|  | 12:15, 12:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1:7, 18:23 } \\ & \text { proceedings }[3] \text { - } \\ & 3: 21,20: 10,20: 13 \end{aligned}$ | Reporter [1]-20:7 <br> reporter [1]-20:7 <br> request [1] - 4:17 <br> requests ${ }_{[1]}-4: 20$ <br> requires [2]-11:11, <br> 11:16 | side $[3]$ - 9:7, 9:8, 9:15 <br> side-letter [1] - 9:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 7,4: 9,5: 8,5: 10, \\ & 5: 12,5: 14,11: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | packet ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:10 | Proclamation [1]-3:9 products [1] - 10:2 |  | significantly [3] - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:15, 13:24, 16:18, } \\ & 18: 7,18: 12 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | small [1] - 15:11 <br> sourcing [1] - 8:20 |
| MWDBE [1] - 9:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAGE }_{[1]}-20: 2 \\ & \text { page }[3]-12: 1,16: 3, \\ & 17: 18 \end{aligned}$ | progress [1] - 17:8 |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Progress [3] - 6:24, } \\ & \text { 15:22 } \end{aligned}$ | respect [6] - 14:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { space }[2]-17: 13, \\ & 17: 17 \\ & \text { specific }[2]-11: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { need }[5]-10: 7,12: 9, \\ 12: 12,13: 13,17: 15 \end{array}$ | pandemic [2]-3:7, 16:21 | $\begin{gathered} \text { provide }[3]-6: 16, \\ 6: 17,13: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 14,15: 18,16: 3, \\ & 17: 1,17: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { specific }[2]-11: 13,^{12: 15} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nevius }[5]-2: 4,4: 3, \\ 5: 10,10: 5,10: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{par}_{[1]}-14: 16$ <br> part [1] - 7:8 <br> participate [2]-3:13, | provided ${ }_{[1]}-3: 8$ providing $[1]-6: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { respond [1] - 9:9 } \\ & \text { responds [1] - 8:21 } \end{aligned}$ | specifics [1]-13:8 |
|  |  | provisions [1] - 3:10 | response $[1]-8: 22$ | squarely [1] - 8:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 11,10: 5,10: 19 \\ & 10: 22 \end{aligned}$ | participate [2]-3:13,3:18 | public [6] - 3:16, 4:17, | responses [1]-8:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SS }_{[1]}-20: 1 \\ & \text { start }[2]-5: 18,7: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { particular }{ }_{[1]}-17: 15 \\ & \text { pass }[1]-16: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 8:10 | results [7] - 14:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { starting [2] - } 6: 19, \\ & 8: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 10:22 } \\ \text { new }[1]-9: 23 \end{gathered}$ | pass [1]-16:16 passes [2]-5:16, 18:21 | Public [2] - 3:10, 4: |  |  |
| News [1] - 2:10next [6] - 5:19, 13:19, |  | push [1]-14:20 | 15:7, 15:14, 16:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { State }_{[2]}-1: 9,20: 8 \\ & \text { STATE }_{[1]}-20: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:21 } \\ & \text { Patrick }[1]-2: 3 \end{aligned}$ | put ${ }_{[2]}$-11:21, 14:1 <br> putting [1] - 13:9 | retaining ${ }_{[1]}-13: 2$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { next }[6]-5: 19,13: 19 \\ & \text { 13:20, 16:17, 17:20, } \\ & \text { 18:6 } \end{aligned}$ | Peggy [1] - 3:24 <br> Pension [4]-11:11, |  | RETIREMENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:2 | STATE ${ }_{[1]}-20: 1$ <br> Statement [1]-12:12 <br> statement ${ }_{[1]}$ - 13:16 |
|  |  |  | Review [3]-5:1, 11:3,13:20 |  |
| nice [2] - 11:18, 14:1 <br> niche ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:10 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Pension [4] - 11:11, } \\ \text { 11:16, 11:22, 13:8 } \end{array}$ | Q |  | STENOGRAPHIC ${ }_{[1]}$ 1:7 |
| none [1] - 4:23 <br> noted [2] - 14:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { percent }[7]-6: 24, \\ & 7: 22,8: 3,8: 5,16: 8, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { review }[5]-6: 3,6: 4, \\ & 6: 7,6: 16,11: 9 \end{aligned}$ | steps $[2]-16.17$ |
|  | 7:22, 8:3, 8:5, 16:8, $16: 10,16: 15$ | 11:1, 13:12, 16:16, | revised [1]-14:18 <br> RFP $_{[1]}$ - 5:20 |  |
| notes [1] - 20:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { performance }[3]-6: 4 \text {, } \\ & 6: 5,12: 21 \end{aligned}$ | quite [3] - 7:4, 7:9, |  | still [2] - 7:14, 13:2 strategy [1] - 16:11 |
|  | perhaps [1]-6:17 <br> physically [2] - $3: 12$, |  | Rhumbline [1] - 12:7 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { notice [4] - } 3: 17,6: 8, \\ & 7: 3,7: 21 \end{aligned}$ |  | 12:10 quorum [2]-3:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { right-hand [2] - 15:3, } \\ & 15: 5 \end{aligned}$ | Street ${ }_{[1]}-1: 8$ |
| November [3]-5:2, 15:5, 15:17 | pick [1] - 8:14 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rock }[1] ~-~ 12: 8 ~_{\text {Roll }}^{[1]}-3: 24 \\ & \mathbf{r e c}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | stress [1] - 17:5 <br> strong [1] - 7:22 <br> strongly ${ }_{[1]}-8: 22$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number }[1]-13: 19 \\ & \text { number }{ }_{[1]}-9: 8 \\ & \text { numbers }[5]-6: 10 \text {, } \\ & 6: 13,6: 20,6: 22,7: 8 \end{aligned}$ | Pinelli [1] - 9:17 <br> pipeline ${ }_{[1]}$ - 9:5 <br> place [1]-6:14 | R |  |  |
|  |  | range [2] - 8:4 <br> reach [1]-14:20 |  | Subcommittee [2] - $3: 4,5: 3$ |
|  | plan [2]-17:1, 17:19 |  | Search [1]-6:2 <br> search [10]-6:6, 6:15, | SUBCOMMITTEE ${ }_{[1]}$1:1 |
| 0 | point ${ }_{[1]}$ - 6:11 | 13:16 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O'Bradovich }_{[1]}-2: 10 \\ & \text { oath }[1]-20: 7 \\ & \text { OCHALLA }_{[4]}-4: 6, \\ & 5: 5,5: 13,18: 17 \\ & \text { Ochalla }[3]-2: 3,4: 5, \end{aligned}$ | ```Policy [3] - 11:4, 11:12, 12:11 policy [3] - 7:17, 11:15, 13:16 portfolio [3]-12:2, 12:19, 13:2``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Real }[2]-5: 19,6: 1^{\text {real }[9]-8: 8,8: 10,} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 10,9: 22,10: 21, \\ & 10: 22 \end{aligned}$ | Suite [1] - 1:8 <br> surrounding [1] - 3:6 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 11,8: 14,9: 2,9: 22 \\ & 10: 14,10: 19 \end{aligned}$ | searches [1] - 9:1 <br> second $[3]-5: 6,15: 3$, | T |
|  |  | really [1]-17:10 rearrange $[1]-5: 17$ |  | table [1] - 14:24 |



