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TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The hour having
reached 9:30 on the morning of December 3 rd , Thursday, we will begin our monthly County Pension Fund board meeting.

I hereby convene the meeting of the Cook County and Forest Preserve Annuity and Benefit Fund Board of Trustees for Thursday, December 3, 2020.

Because of the uncertainty surrounding the Covid-19 pandemic, the Board is adhering to the guidance provided most recently by the Governor's November 13, 2020 Disaster Proclamation, the Governor's Executive Order 71, as well as provisions of Public Act 101-0640. There may not be a quorum of trustees physically present in the room. Some trustees, however, are present at the public meeting location, as is the Executive Director and Fund counsel. The remaining trustees will participate by video conference as allowed by the Governor's Executive Orders.

For the record, the public has received notice of this meeting and their ability to participate by video conference or to be physically present at the meeting. In addition, the Fund is recording the meeting and a transcript of the
proceedings will be available in the near future on the Board Fund's website.

Peggy, may we call the roll, please.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Here.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Present.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Present.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Here.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Here.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Here.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Here.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. Thank you. We have a quorum for today's meeting, right?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Thank you.
There is an opportunity at the beginning of the meetings consistent with Public Act 91-0715 to provide an opportunity for the public to speak
to address the Board within reasonable constraints determined by the trustees, at each of the board meeting, members of the public may request a brief time to address the Board on relevant matters.

Are there any requests for public comment today? If any member of the public wants to speak please identify yourself for the record.

Hearing none, we will proceed to the public business matters.

Perhaps, Gina, you could let us know who is on the line.

MS. TUCZAK: Absolutely. So joining us today, in addition to the trustees, $I$ have the staff that is participating virtually. There is Brent Lewandowski, Caroline Vullmahn, Fernando Vinzons, Gary LeDonne, Jane Hawes and Melody Aguilos from our staff and Mike Maratea.

For members of the public, we have Jason Parks, who is from Loop Capital, and I have Maha Ben who is a journalist from Fundmap publication.

And then we will also have two representatives from Callan, that will be providing the third quarter results, and that is John Jackson and Ben Duerst.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Thank You, very much, Gina.

So the first matter of business is to approve the minutes of the November 5 th board meeting as well as the October 1st open meeting transcript.

Has everyone had an opportunity to review the material and be in a position to move approval?

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: I move.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Second.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee Kouruklis. Seconded by Trustee Blair. Do we need a roll call?

MS. BURNS: No, you can do that, sir, by voice vote.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: All in favor?
(Chorus of ayes.)
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The ayes have it. The motion passes.

The next item is Review and Approval of the Bills and Payroll Records incurred in November of 2020 that have already been paid and those also presented for payment, based on the Fund staff's confirmation that said payments are consistent with
the Budget and Board approval.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Trustee Goode moves approval.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Trustee Hughes second.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee
Goode. Seconded by Trustee Hughes. Approval. Is there any discussion?

Peggy, would you call the roll for this item, please?

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: So the motion passes.
Thank you. The Bills and Payroll have been approved.

The next item on the Agenda is approval of all Annuities, Spouse and Child Annuities and Refunds.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Trustee Goode moves approval.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee Goode.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: Second.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Seconded by Trustee
Blair. Is there any discussion?
Please, call the roll.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Motion passes. The
Annuities, Spouse and Child Annuities and Refunds have been approved.

The next item is to approve all Ordinary
and Duty Disabilities for which we will need a motion to approve.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Trustee Goode move approval.

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: I will second.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee
Goode. Seconded by Trustee Kouruklis.
May we have a roll please for this, Peggy?

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.

TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The Ordinary and Duty Disabilities have been approved.

The next item typically is at the first meeting in December the Fund approves the officers of the Fund, along with the committee chairmen and committee assignments.

In that President Wilson wasn't able to be present, the thought, the suggestion, is to put this over until the January meeting, if everyone is agreeable.

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Agreed.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: I am fine with that.
TRUSTEE GOODE: I am fine with that.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Same here.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Trustee Ochalla, you
seconded that?
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Yes, if it is a motion
and Bill moved, $I$ will second.
MS. BURNS: It is a motion to approve
retention of the current officers and the committee assignments until the January board meeting.

Motion by Kouruklis. Seconded by
Ochalla.
Peggy, can call the roll.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Please.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Motion passes. The
next item in your material is a sheet reflecting
the suggested board meeting dates for 2021. The routine of late, last several years, has been to hold the board meeting on the first Thursday of the month.

I looked at these and they all are pretty easy. Often times the July meeting will be changed to reflect the preferences of the Board. This year it is the Thursday before July 4 th, which we can talk about at the time if that doesn't work for everyone.

So we'd like a motion to approve the suggested schedule of board meeting dates for 2021, please.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: I will make the motion. TRUSTEE NEVIUS. Second.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee Blair. Seconded by Trustee Nevius.

Roll call?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir. It is only during the pandemic that they require you to do roll calls on all votes of any substantive matter, any action, because the Governor is allowing you not to be physically present. The Governor specified that.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: He did?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The motion passes as proposed.

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The next item are legislative matters.

MS. BURNS: Can we go back to Ethics Training?

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Ethics Training. I'm
sorry, how could I miss that.

MS. BURNS: Thank you.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Gina, could you
address this issue?

MS. TUCZAK: Yes, please. Thank you.

Behind your Tab 3-C, there is a summary of hours that have been earned thus far, if you have attended the meetings documented here. Such as the January investment meeting, the May investment meeting and the October board meeting. If you have also completed the sexual harassment materials and the cyber security materials, all of those items add to 4.5 hours. So the requirement is for eight hours. There is still three and a half that needs to be obtained.

Following the front memo, there is documentation from Fernando and myself of options that are available online. For example, webinars. There are a couple of conferences that will be virtual that are coming up so $I$ thought this will be helpful.

I have emailed this to you in the past. We updated it with a couple of new things that Fernando was able to locate through Callan just

```
trying to provide as many opportunities as possible
to accomplish the eight hours.
    If you are having any issues accessing
any of these and would like our assistance, please
let me know.
    In addition, when you have completed
these, please contact either myself, Fernando or
Peggy so that we can document that for our records
in the accounting for the eight hours for each
trustee.
```

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Thank you, Gina.
The next item on the agenda is considered a legislative matter. It concerns approval by the Fund of the Intragovernmental Agreement with Cook County to execute and deliver the IGA on behalf of the Fund.

Gina, you could spell it out better than I did, please.

MS. TUCZAK: Thank you. So every year at this time since 2017 the County has provided us with an Intergovernmental Agreement for supplemental funding in addition to that required by the statutes.

The amount that is in this agreement is
actuarially determined and presented to this Board at the June meeting. The goal is in 30 years from 2017 the pension obligations, assuming all of the actuarial assumptions are met, the pension obligations would be at 100 percent funding.

The healthcare plan obligations are a pay as you go and there is an estimate in here for that based on experience.

The amount is 1 believe 341 million and some change to be received in increments of 28 million a month until the last month, which is November of 2021 , which would then be paid with the balance of the 341 .

Everything else in the document is consistent with last year and this has been reviewed by fiduciary counsel as well.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Well, we are thrilled to have it and thankful for them to provide it again this year.

May we have a motion to execute the document on behalf of the Fund and transmit it back to the County corporate, please?

TRUSTEE BLAIR: So moved.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Second.
$\square$
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee
Blair. Seconded by Trustee Kouruklis. Call the roll, please.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The motion passes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: This is Trustee Hughes.
I did have one question for Gina. Is it her understanding that the County is going to bring this to the December board meeting, their December 17 th meeting, or does she know?

MS. TUCZAK: Yes, that is correct. If we can get this signed and back to the CFO Mr. Rizki by December 9th, it will go to the December Cook County Commissioner board meeting, which has been the practice the last few years.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: Okay. Thank you, Gina.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Is it more typical?
Am I missing something? Couldn't it go over this afternoon, for instance, if the officers are here to sign it?

MS. TUCZAK: It could except Trustee Wilson -- I have to get his signature on the document.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. Great. Thank you.

The next item are recommendations from the investment meeting which was held on November 24 th.

Trustee Ochalla, would it be okay with you if we approved these four items as a whole or do we have to go through them or I could mention them?

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Well, $I$ think the only one that you may want to separate out would be the
recommendation to hire the new Boston Common Group as that is a completion of a RFP.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Other than that, I don't see a reason why not, if it is okay with counsel.

MS. BURNS: Trustee McFadden, would you like me to address that?

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Sure.

MS. BURNS: You can absolutely do this by consent agenda. So what you would do is, based on the recommendations that came out of the Investment Committee meeting on November 24 th, there would be a motion to accept the recommendations of the Investment Committee regarding the Emerging Manager Utilization Goals and Broker Dealer Targets for the upcoming year.

A motion to accept the recommendation, based on the recommendation of the Fund's investment consultant, to allow staff to liquidate up to 260 million dollars from the Fund's fixed income managers at such time and such amount as deemed necessary by the Executive Director in order to meet the Fund's liquidity needs and to accept
the recommendation of the Investment Committee regarding the Investment Manager Fee Savings Proposals relating to Mesirow Small Cap Value Equity and JP Morgan Strategic Property Fund.

If there is a motion to accept those
recommendations, those can all be done as a consent agenda items.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Move.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: I will second it.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee
Ochalla. Seconded by Trustee Nevius.
We will need a roll. These are three of the four items.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.

TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Motion passes.
The other item that was referred to that is on the minutes of the Investment Committee is approval of the recommendation of the committee regarding the selection of Boston Common subject to contract negotiations. To hire them as International Equity Emerging Manager for an allocation of approximately 170 million.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: I will make that motion.

TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Second.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee
Ochalla. Seconded by Trustee Nevius. Roll call, please.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The motion passes.
We have a couple of benefits specific items on the agenda.

MS. BURNS: Sir, if I may interrupt, I think you need to go back and do to the Third Quarter Investment Review.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Unless we move that
until after we hear from Callan.
MS. TUCZAK: Callan is on. They were planning to do that presentation.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: After they do it, can we plan on moving to accept and file?

MS. BURNS: Yes. You just will hear it.
That item is left over from your Investment Committee Meeting on November 24 th. The Chair of the Committee asked that it be presented today. It's just the presentation to do it either now on
the agenda or you could wait if you like and do it later, but this way you can free up the Callan people from the call.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. We will need a motion to approve?

MS. TUCZAK: It is informational.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. So Callan and Company is on deck.

MS. TUCZAK: If I may?
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Yes, please.
MS. TUCZAK: John Jackson and Ben Duerst are on the Teams and they will present the third quarter results.

We also have for those in the room we have the booklets that were distributed.

The plan is that the presentation would be approximately 25 minutes, which is what Callan recommended, to cover the material.

With that, John, are you ready to begin?
MR. JACKSON: I am. Thank you, Gina.
With the pleasure of the Board, I will be covering the third quarter performance for the Cook County Pension Fund as well as the Forest Preserve Fund.

I am going to try to pull up the document via the Open Share Tray so let's just hope this goes through clearly. Can everyone see it?

MS. TUCZAK: There you go.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Yes.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: It looks good, John.
MR. JACKSON: Alright. Thank you.
I am going to begin with Tab 1 , which is going to be the Cook County Performance Review. I am going to go to the Page 2 of that document, which is the summary points, the highlights if you will, of what took place in the third quarter of 2020 with respect to the Fund.

The Fund ended the quarter with a market value of 11.23 billion, that is a 524 million increase from the second quarter value of 10.71 billion.

All asset classes were invested within their policy targets and target ranges as of quarter end.

The total Fund had a return of 5.41 percent, that is nets of fees for the third quarter, leading the 5.18 percent return for the blended benchmark. Over the last 12 months, the

Fund returned 7.78 and trailed its benchmark of 9.11. So a healthy net. Some detraction from the benchmark due to some of the asset classes active management underperformance.

Below we have the information with respect to the individual asset classes. Domestic equity, while having a strong 8.6 return for the third quarter, a very robust equity benchmark of 9.2 percent. Again, this is U.S. equities. In the last year U.S. equities returned 12.6 percent relative to the 15 percent return for the blended U.S. equity benchmark.

International equities returned 6.8 percent, 6.76 actually, and trailing the U.S. equities again in line with the 6.8 percent benchmark return.

For the year, again, returned 3.58
percent. Modestly leading the 3.5 percent benchmark return.

Fixed income had a strong quarter. Up 1.35 percent in the third quarter. Leading the . 58 percent return. Over the last 12 months fixed income has returned 5.2 percent versus 6.6 percent. And primarily there are two culprits that lead to
the underperformance and we'll talk about that when we get to that particular asset.

REITS returned 1.08 percent
underperforming the benchmark for the year.
However, all be it negative at negative 15, REITS beat the benchmark which was down 18 percent.

Private real estate. You see a difficult time in the real estate arena where there is a lot of write downs taking place. There was a negative . 8 percent in contrast. The . 3 percent return for the benchmark. In the last year, the portfolio has generated 2.99 percent return, which is leading . 5 percent of the public benchmark.

Hedge funds had a decent quarter at 3.65 percent. Leading its absolute return benchmark of 1.04. However, in the last 12 months at. 8 percent while keeping trace with its peers trailed the 5 percent benchmark.

The total five year annualized return for the Fund is about 8.3 percent. That is about 20 basis points behind the blended benchmark. And since January, 2011, the Fund is at 7.35 percent in line with the benchmark return of 7.3 percent.

Are there any questions on the summary?

I will turn to the Capital Markets.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: John, I had a question on the private real estate benchmark. It says public benchmark.

MR. JACKSON: That is correct. It is actually the -- it is the Odyssey benchmark that is available publicly, but in fact is a compilation of the open real estate funds that are in the marketplace. So it is a published index that comes out approximately 30 days after each quarter end.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: And this is the benchmark that is tied to the manager's performance?

MR. JACKSON: That's correct.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Alright. Thank you.

MR. JACKSON: You are very welcome.

Let me turn to this slide. This is Slide 3. Here we have an overall summary on the different asset classes involved.

What we have seen in the third quarter is the continued rebound in equity markets. While certain sectors of the economy have rebounded more quickly then expected, the trajectory overall is still unclear. We do have some headwinds and there
are have and have nots with respect to this recovery. We are going to just touch on some of those.

But in an effort, you may recall the Covid environment took its toll. The market peaked around February 19 th and then for the next 33 days or so we saw a significant downturn due to the pandemic became front page news and then we saw at an unprecedented level of fiscal and monetary stimulus really helped the economy.

Certainly the stay-at-home orders, the Covid pandemic overall, has taken its toll on the numbers. There are certainly the haves and the haves not.

Let's take a few moments to kind of illustrate the equity markets. As we see the $S \& P$ 500 was up 8.9 percent for the quarter and now the 12 month return is at 15 percent.

There's a really concentrated recovery particularly in information technology stocks that have been recovered.

Now you may hear people refer to it as not a $V$ Recovery but a $K$ Recovery in terms of some sectors of the economy have rebounded. Others have
continued to decline.
Furthermore, $I$ guess the big news coming out of the third quarter was the Feds commitment to sustaining and putting all efforts into sustaining the economy. So one of the things that they are looking to do is not only did they cut rates to zero, but also supporting the stimulus and made the statement that while they have a two tier mandate to promote stability in the economy, they are centered and much less so on the inflationary front.

Their policy going forward will be to focus on the resuscitation of the economy. Keeping it low until an estimated 2022 or 2023.

The secondary, the inflationary concern, has really been put on the back burner.

Let's take a look at some of the numbers here. We see the $S \& P$ 500, again as $I$ said, up 8.9 percent. 15 percent for the year.

The global stocks or the non-U.S. stocks if you will have also experienced a rebound, but not to the same degree as in the U.S.

So as we look down, we see the MSCI World ex USA, that is actually a develop markets.

Non-U.S. equities were up 4.9 percent.

In the meantime, emerging markets we saw was up 9.6 percent and small caps non-U.S. were up 10.5 percent.

Again, these are strategies that have been employed by Cook County that certainly help out the non-U.S. equity investments.

Any questions on that?
Within the fixed income arena, we see the investments that dominated is the Bloomberg Barclays aggregate, that is the top return there. You see that it was . 62. So relatively flat-ish for the year up almost 7 percent. This benchmark is comprised of a heavy dose of U.S. treasuries that only provided a modest return of . 17 if you will for the quarter. But it is the corporate and the mortgage backed securities that are also included in this benchmark that performed better.

We look at the again real estate as we talked about. There are two benchmarks included here. One is the NCREIF Index that was up. 74 and we look at the NAREITS Equity Index. This is the REITS Equity Index, so these are publicly traded real estate stocks, was up 1.44 percent. But if
you look over to the one year number up 18 percent. Within the alternatives category, we see the hedge funds at 3.4 percent. Provided I guess a stable return over the last year up 2.4 percent. The Cambridge Private Equity. This is the universe of managers that come out. They are published. We tend to get it at least 90 days after quarter. We have a one quarter lag here. But you are seeing that the private equities was up 9.9 percent.

With respect to that, we see inflation at 1.4 percent on an annual basis. It continues to be benign.

So with that, if there are no questions, I am going to turn to the Slide 6. This is an interesting slide because often times we hear about how is the economy doing and how is the market doing.

While they may draw a similar performance pattern over the long-term, we can see that GDP certainly had a significant rebound in the second quarter but after being pummelled in the first quarter.

As we look at this, we see that they are really not synonymous from the standpoint you see
what is the sector breakdown here.

Again, as $I$ said technology, if you look to the bars on the far right, you see that technology represents about 40 percent of the $S \& P$ 500 Index compared to the employment picture and the nominal GDP picture where they are providing 2 percent and 6 percent respectfully.

So that is just making the point here that while the stockmarket may be raging the economy doesn't experience as rosy of a picture.

Here we turn to on Page 7. Here are the U.S. equities in drilling down a little bit in terms of what performed and what lagged on a relative basis.

So here $I$ guess the points there are a couple of themes that are coming out here. One is that large cap stocks outperformed small cap stocks. So if you look to the far right, you see for the quarter the Russell 1000 which is a large cap index or the $S \& P 500$ which is a large cap index were up about 9 and a half and 8.9 percent which particular benchmark you point to.

Contrast that with the small cap stocks. Small cap stocks are measured by the Russell 2000 .

It was up 4.9 percent.
So again trailing this quarter the larger cap equity brethren. If you look over the last year you see a significant dispersion, which where you see that the $S \& P 500$ was up 15 percent and the Russell 1000 which is the one thousand largest traded securities in the U.S. market, equity market, was up 16 percent. Contrast that with the Russell 2000 which was up .4 percent. So that is a 15 or 16 percent dispersion, depending on which benchmark you look to. The thing is large cap securities is outperforming small cap securities. Also, we take into account style. So when you look at the Russell 1000 Growth Index, we have a breakout here between growth and value. In other words, we are looking to this index of 1,000 securities, a portion of them are considered value equities and a portion are considered growth equities. And you see the enormous dispersion of return as you see the Russell 1000 growth was up 37 and a half percent for the one year period. Value was down 5 percent.

So again why is that the case? Because when we look at the Russell 1000 growth, it is
comprised of 45 percent information technologies equities.

So, again, the take here is that when you look at equity managers and how they perform, those that tended to overweight information technology did particularly well. Those that underweight suffered.

The Russell 1000 value by contrast its biggest constituent is our financials, which have been particularly hard hit.

So when you look at the equities, the information technology stocks and the Russell 1000 growth, you're looking at 45 percent of them. When you look at the value, it represents about 10 percent.

Turning to the sector performance again, we see that this is breaking down the $S \& P 500$ into 11 sectors and they were all positive for the quarter with the exception of energy as we know has struggled mightily and certainly been one of the more volatile sectors in the market.

We see information technology,
industrials and consumer discretionary. Consumer discretionary leading the pack for the quarter but
as we look for information technology we see that again at 12 percent for the quarter it continues to be in a leadership position.

This is a slide you may find interesting. It just talks about the concentration. So we have talked about the FAANGM stocks. The Facebook, the Apple, the Netflex, the Google. And we have seen that they tend to be the drivers of performance.

So as we look in this particular slide we see that the top five names in the $S \& P 500$ represent -- this shows 23 percent. It is about 21 percent as of 9-30 but a large portion of that index. So goes that on a year-to-date basis the S\&P 500 was up 5.6 percent. If you take out these five stocks, it was actually negative. Again, they are driving the performance. Not only do they represent a healthy amount but they also represent year-to-date 33 percent of that return.

So how large of an overweight is this?
If we lookback 30 years, we see that the
concentration in the top five names was about 13 percent. Again, we see a very high concentration even within these benchmarks.

This slide is non-U.S. equity
performance. Again, I touched on we see that developed markets as measured by the EAFE was up 4.8 percent. We see that the non-U.S. small caps there are two indexes listed here. One is the ACWI ex U.S. One is the world. That includes emerging markets in there but both exceeding 10 percent and we see that the emerging markets again contributing as they were up 9.6 percent.

Now it is interesting, you say, okay, well, when we look at emerging markets, they have performed so well over the last year. Please note take a look at China just below. So you see that the Chinese stocks in the index returned 12.9 percent for the quarter. 33.6 for the 12 month period. It represents about 40 percent of the emerging market index so it is certainly a driver there for that outperformance.

Fixed income. Risk-on environment. So again what performed well? Well, the Barclays aggregate, the second index from the top, was up. . 62 percent. Again flat-ish. Over the last year, however, it's up about 6.8 percent. So again a healthy dose of treasuries combined with corporate and mortgage backed securities and again for the
quarter treasury stocks were up about 17 basis points while corporate fixed income was up 1.5 percent.

Another indication of a risk-on environment is how the high yield has performed. So if you look at the quarter, you see that high yield stocks, these are non-investment grade, were up 4.6 percent. But as you look down, you see over the one year period, that includes the Covid quarter of the first quarter, high yield being punished for a one year period. It is only up . 6 percent.

So private real estate markets. I guess what $I$ am going to highlight here is that investment return has two sources. One being income. One being depreciation.

As we see, the NCREIF index across the Board has generated on an annual basis somewhere between 4 and 5 percent. Appreciation over the last ten years has added a significant amount.
5.3 percent over the last ten years. 2.3 over the last five years.

In this particular quarter, we
see the breakdown below and you see that all of the sectors, with the exception of industrials, had negative appreciation. That is again due to the fact that you have the industrials, the Amazon effect, warehousing, has performed in the real estate market while other sectors like hotels, for instance, has been particularly punished. Retail has been punished. Office also suffering.

And this is particularly
noteworthy when you look at a manager like JP Morgan where they have the traditional asset class exposure in some of their malls generated a negative return where they had to take some write downs.

I will go to the hedge fund performance again. Again what we see in hedge funds is something that we look to see over the long-term generating returns somewhere between fixed income and equity returns and a stabilizing influence. Given the last two years where the fixed income benchmark has in a lowering rate environment has produced returns around 7 percent. Hedge funds haven't been particularly fruitful but have performed as
expected like in the last quarter with respect to they returned somewhere between fixed income and equity.

With that $I$ am going to take a pause and go through the Fund performance. Are there any questions? Okay.

Let's turn to the allocation.

As I said, we have the targets listed as well as the actual allocation and then we have the percent difference. The overweights here we see domestic equity at 1.2 percent overweight driven by returns largely and private equity at 2.4 percent. Run up in private equity given that it is an illiquid asset class we really can't do a whole lot to pair that back, but both of these allocations have been additive and certainly within their target ranges. This is the cash flow statement as $I$ said showing the investment return. We have a beginning value at 10.7 billion. Investment returns dollar amount is approximately 577 million and withdrawals in the amount of approximately -- a net withdrawal amount of 53 million. And we see that the sources from this, have been to fund these withdrawals, have been
largely fixed income, cash and hedge funds. This gives you the bars of total performance as we see the net gross and the benchmark return.

Again, what did well in the third quarter. Fixed income and private equity and hedge fund were the primary drivers. While domestic equity providing strong absolute performance, trailed its benchmark.

Over the last 12 months, we see that active management, domestic equity, and fixed income and hedge funds were the primary detractors.

As you recall, there were two primary detractors over the one year period while we looked at fixed income. That being Franklin Templeton the global bond and Xponance.

Five year period. We see that the total fund chases the benchmark by about 20 basis points. Again, asset allocation decisions have provided value while active management has detracted somewhat.

This is the performance in light of the peer universe. On a peer universe basis, we see that the Cook County Fund on a gross of fee basis, which is the foundation of this universe,
was top third, if you will. Anywhere from 27 th percentile in the last quarter. Over the last five years a similar ranking telling you that it is outperforming 73 percent of the funds in this universe.

Takeaway here is that while it is performing it may be trailing its benchmark. Given the asset allocation, it has a little bit of a higher equity allocation then any of its peers, that has resulted in a better peer performance.

This shows the asset class performance and it breaks it down by asset classes. And as you can see, $I$ will walk quickly through these, but we can see that the domestic equity and private equity provided the largest absolute returns. Domestic equity at 8.6 percent.

So we did have some detractor in the active management of the large cap and with respect to the $\operatorname{SMID}$ and small cap managers overall it was additive from the standpoint of outperforming their individual benchmarks but when rolled up to the composite, as I had said, small caps underperformed large caps. The portfolio has a modest small cap bias which detracted from the
overall return.
International equities. Again, we see is in line at 6.76 versus 6.8 . We can see over the longer periods of time it has been a value add.

Fixed income, as we have said, if you look over to the last quarter, you see outperformance. That is because as treasuries underperform relative to the other sectors within fixed income active management is going to perform better.

In the last year, we see 5.2
percent overall trailing the 6.6 percent. And, again, that is due to a couple of managers which we highlighted. Xponance has bounced back significantly in this quarter. Franklin Templeton has not.

With respect to private real
estate, we see that some write downs were taken so a negative return overall for the quarter, but as we look over the last year at 3 percent versus . 5 outperforming its peers.
Private equity continues to
generate strong returns. Hedge funds, as we said,
the 3.6 percent over the last quarter outperforming the absolute benchmark trailing the Fund universe if you will. As we see the last three years, it has generated a 2.9 percent return and 3.3.

Again, the two hedge fund
managers that we have play complimentary roles and have performed as -- I can't say as expected. We would have hoped that we would have had a little bit stronger performance on the more beta or equity like oriented manager. But where seven-tenths or 70 percent of the allocation is with Blackstone, which is more of a credit oriented shop that will perform better in distressed markets.

The next few pages have the individual performances. And $I$ guess what I'd like to do is highlight a few of the -- most importantly, we see Ariel at 1.85 percent versus the benchmark at 6.3. This is a manager that has long-term good performance. Impacted recently. They tend to be benchmark agnostic. Very contrarian. Again, very defensive compared to -we think it is a good compliment to the Lazard portfolio.

You can see that the small caps
when you look at the international category was up 4.6 percent. If you look at the international core which is a passive up 5.6 percent and then scan over the last year we see that small caps at 12 percent. Added value and emerging markets at 7 percent.

If you look at emerging markets, for instance, over the five year period, it was up 12.4 percent. So certainly those complimentary strategies adding value in the international space.

## Fixed income. Here's where we

 want to highlight a couple of managers. Xponance. We see over the quarter was up 4.02 percent versus the benchmark which was . 62. Again, you've got 3.4 percent outperformance still trailing on the one year basis. They traffic in smaller issuance securities that are more illiquid. Their take is that they will continue to be money good but in this period of dislocation and concern about liquidity they are going to be punished.We did see a bounce as the
market styled down somewhere in the third quarter. We hope to see a continuance there.
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Templeton, we see that they had a negative return of .43 percent versus 2.7 from their benchmark and over the last year negative 4.78 versus 6 percent for the benchmark.

The takeaway here is that their currency allocation really detracted from returns as they underweighted Euro and overweighted Latin American currencies and it continues to be a theme.

They are pursuing what they would describe as a defensive posture, but certainly last year's U.S. treasuries had a big impact on their portfolio.

With that, $I$ am going to -- if you would like to talk about any of the managers, we certainly can do that.

On Page 24, we have the calendar year performance and you see that over the last -- the ten periods appearing here for nine of the full calendar years six of those the Fund outperformed. It is underperforming on the year to-date basis as you can see that 1.9 versus 3.5.

So Manager Updates.

MS. TUCZAK: John, thank you. This is
all great information. If we can just be efficient
with the remainder of the presentation, as $I$ think we are a little bit over the estimated timeframe. We do have a number of topics to cover with some trustees that will need to be leaving around noon. Thank you.

MR. JACKSON: Thank you, Gina.
Manager Updates. Franklin Templeton.
This is just a snapshot of some of the activity that has taken place. They are part -- Franklin Templeton acquired Legg Mason, that deal closed in July. We don't see that impacting the investment management teams.

The issues here we see that there is some turnover on the team. Dr. Hasenstab is the key risk individual. He hasn't expressed any interest in leaving.

Performance over the four years where you have invested has been challenging. The negative attribution really coming from security and currency positioning rather than country allocation.

The next page. I have highlighted the box just to show the representative period where you have invested versus the longer term.

We can see that over the 10 -year period they outperformed. In the amount of time that you have had them, certainly, we have had bottom decile performance and trailing the benchmark pretty significantly.

With respect to Manager Updates, you can read these at your leisure.

William Blair we did have a P.M. change. No action required. We have stability there.

Mackay Shields. Janelle Woodward was appointed as President. No action there. She is experienced. We feel good about that.

PGIM. We have a change in the research. They have a deep bench. A lot of continuity there.

Frontier. Michael Cavarretta will retire but he is going to be -- Drew Bennett, who has been there since 2010 , will remain in place.

That concludes the overview of the Cook County Fund and if you like we can turn to Forest. Any questions?

Is everyone seeing a blank screen?

MS. TUCZAK: Yes, that is what we are seeing.

MR. JACKSON: I am not sure why that is
taking place. I am going to try and open the share tray again. My apologies.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Why don't you just walk us through it?

MR. JACKSON: I will walk you through it.
Thank you, Trustee McFadden.
The Forest Preserve Fund. If you turn to Page 2 is the highlights. Again, here, end of the third quarter with a portfolio value of 199.5 million and 6.7 million dollar increase. All asset classes are invested within their targets. The Fund experienced a 4.66 percent net of fees lagging the benchmark of 4.91. And over the last 12 months it has returned 5.6 trailing the 8 percent benchmark.

The story here is that really domestic equity, again, we have largely passively managed return 8.23. Smaller underperformed relative to larger caps which effected their performance.

Equity. Again, we have Lazard, which was 5.88 percent for the quarter. What $I$ would say about Lazard is that while it generated a return that was lagging the benchmark over the last five years it has generated a return of 2.7 percent
versus 1.6. Again, long-term added performance. There is a passive component about one-third which generated a return of the market.

The real estate, again, we have a single JP Morgan is the manager where they have taken some rate write down exposure.

And then hedge funds, again, we see a 3 percent return versus the benchmark of 1.04. Over the five year basis, the Fund is -- it is about 62 percent passively invested. 7.6 percent return total versus the benchmark of 8.3. Since January, 20117.7 percent leading the benchmark of 7.3.

With that, if we want to go right to the asset class performance, on Page 19. It kind of illustrates what has performed well over the short-term and the long-term. And suffice to say that we see fixed income has generated a return of about 3.8 versus 4.11. That is a little bit trailing and that is due to the introduction of the shorter duration that would have not have faired as well but again this is passively managed.

$$
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outperformance. Again has trailed its benchmark 12.5 versus 13.7.

Hedge funds to tracking as well over the five year period. So the performance here continues to again come within the range that we expected, but the hedge funds have underperformed over the last year.

Real estate has performed. Again, two managers in the real estate arena, $J P$ Morgan and Prudential, down in the last quarter resulted in the JP Morgan trailing Prudential, but we continue to see those as strong compliments.

Fixed income passively managed on the core front and then we have the short duration manager. So overall we have about a third of the portfolio in active management.

Again, William Blair, for instance, has trailed in the last year but over the three and five has performed strongly.

That would conclude my prepared remarks.
I am happy to talk about any of the individual managers, if you would like.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Apparently, there is no questions, John. Thank you, very much.

MR. JACKSON: Thank you.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: We will receive and
file this report?
MS. TUCZAK: Yes.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Thank you.
Next item on the agenda are a couple of benefit matters, specific benefit matters.

Gina, could you go through these two for us or one at a time rather?

MS. TUCZAK: Certainly.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Please.

MS. TUCZAK: Behind Tab 6-A, we have Office Number 141197. This matter originally came to you at the July meeting. This involves a member that has 29 years and 4 months of service credit. The member retired April 30th. There was a desire of the member to purchase sick time to get the member's service over 30 years. The payment for that sick time purchase was received outside of the 30 days which is in the Illinois Pension Code.

The Board considered this and was ready to provide the award of the $\$ 4,693.64$, but there was some request on behalf of the member's attorney to defer this while the member explored various options.

My understanding is that Mary Pat has
been in contact with the member's attorney and at this time has requested that we move forward.

MS. BURNS: To be clear, the lawyer has indicated his client would like us to move forward. I continue to believe and advised him once you move forward and take action we would not go back in to adjust his annuity, but the member has decided he would like to get a decision and then take it up on appeal. I might add that his lawyer has advised him repeatedly that is probably not in his best interest.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Mary Pat, I am having trouble hearing you. You kind of broke up about the member proceeding versus what the attorney said. Can you repeat that, please?

MS. BURNS: Yes, ma'am. I indicated that I have spoken to the attorney for the member and it is the member who would like to proceed at this time with the decision as to his annuity so that he can take advantage of the opportunity to file an administrative review of the Board's decision assuming the decision is consistent with the statute.

And I indicated that the lawyer, this
member's lawyer, has advised the member repeatedly that that would probably not be in this member's best interest and that they should continue to try to work it out because they understand that once you set the annuity as $I$ understand it you cannot go back in to amend that annuity amount.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Do we have it in writing that the man insists?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir. From the lawyer, not from the member, but the lawyer has put it in writing that it is his client's direction, request, that we proceed and the lawyer indicated that it was against his advice but that the client wants to proceed.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: So the idea that it is against his advice is also in the letter so there is no confusion later on?

MS. BURNS: Yes. It is not a letter, sir. It is an email communication to me from the lawyer.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. Is that legal these days?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir. I just want to be clear it is not a letter to the Fund. It is the
lawyer communicating with me.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Oh, You are the Fund. MS. BURNS: Thank you.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: We have seen this several times. So the man insists on proceeding with his annuity. So the recommendation at the bottom of the memo here is that the -- and the motion, therefore, would be that the Board find the member eligible for a monthly annuity in the amount of $\$ 4,696.64$ effective May 1 st of 2020 based on his 29 years 4 months of service credit and that the Board determination be a final administrative decision pursuant to Section $9-236$ of the Illinois Pension Code.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Trustee Goode moves approval.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Trustee Goode moves approval.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Seconded by?

TRUSTEE BLAIR: I will second it.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Seconded by Trustee

Blair. Roll call on this item, please.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The motion passes.
The next item is consideration of an issue relating to Office Number 126621 and this is not the first time we have addressed this or heard of this issue. Maybe it is only the second. Who could lay it out for us, would you?

MS. TUCZAK: I will start the discussion, if that is okay with you.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Yes, please.
MS. TUCZAK: We did discuss this at the last meeting. This is an annuitant that is a surviving spouse of a former member that passed
away in the line of duty. And as a result of that determination, the surviving spouse was entitled to a Workmen's Compensation award.

The way that the benefits work in the Code is that the surviving spouse benefit that this fund would award is offset by the Workmen's Comp award. So that was setup when the determination was made that it was a line of duty death.

Some time later, in fact beginning in September of 2017, the Workmen's Comp award that this surviving spouse was receiving was changed. There is a child in the mix that became of age and was not continuing education so the way that the order was written was that the surviving spouse then became entitled to a larger amount under Workmen's Comp.

When that happened, the benefit that we had been paying should have been adjusted and it wasn't.

Now last month, Gary LeDonne, the Director of Benefit Administration, was looking at this calculation because the deceased member would have turned 65 and when the deceased member would have turned 65 there is a change in the calculation
under the Code. So he was taking a look at this and saw the increased funding from Workmen's Comp and realized that the benefit should have been a lower amount for a little over three years.

We brought this to the Board's attention.
We told the Board that we were going to reach out to this surviving spouse and discuss the matter with her and we did that. We have had at least two conversations with her and we have also issued a letter to the surviving spouse regarding this overpayment. We have not heard from the surviving spouse.

Now there is an opportunity in the future that we could recover some of this overpayment when the surviving spouse benefits increase with the cost of living adjustment. So starting in $I$ believe 2022 there would be an opportunity to recover some of that. There would be a significant increase in the surviving spouse benefit in approximately 2026 as the Workmen's Comp payments are set to terminate.

But in order to do that, in discussion with fiduciary counsel, there would need to be some type of legal action taken to enable this fund to
offset that and so that's why we are bringing you this matter today.

MS. BURNS: If I may, as you know there is a section referenced in your memo, Section 9-241 of the Pension Code. I don't want to give legal advice in an open meeting, but that section may implicate your ability to succeed in pursuing this matter against the member.

There is a three-year lookback. If the error is discovered more than three years, it says the person need not repay the Fund.

So I believe Gary discovered this or at least it was brought to the Board's attention in October of 2020 which was three years.

If you are going to do something, $I$ don't think you can wait until the payment allows you to recover it. I think you have to do it now. Even now may be a little bit out of the window in my opinion without getting into details.

If you want to defer this, we can talk about it specifically in closed session when we go in to talk about the Budget.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: If we were to pursue it, how would that work exactly?

MS. BURNS: We would file a lawsuit indicating that there was an overpayment and seek to collect it. Of course, the member would have the right to have an attorney or not. And we would always be open to a resolution, if the person was amenable to that.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: So we could pursue it knowing that we are -- well, not knowing but arguable about the October or December three-year issue and it sounds to me that it would be likely that we'd have to -- the judge isn't going to make a decision. We'd have to come to terms with the person.

MS. BURNS: That would be one option.
There would also be the option of coming back to the Board periodically and updating you and you may decide at that point then to terminate the litigation.

I think if you are interested in seeking to recover you probably need to do so sooner rather than later based on the three-year just to reserve your rights.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Not as representing President Wilson, or just as a trustee, $I$ think we
should proceed with the court in order to at least start as promptly as we can after we became aware of it and then you would update us on the progress and we could change gears if we wanted to.

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Did $I$ say that in the form of a motion?

MS. BURNS: I would suggest that maybe you consider motion to authorize staff working with fiduciary counsel to take all necessary actions to attempt collection of the overpayment made to Office Number 126621 as permitted by Section 9-241 of the Pension Code.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: This is Trustee Hughes.
May I ask one question, please?
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Absolutely.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: If I understood counsel correctly, it seems like our window to recover would perhaps close if we don't take this action at this time? Is that a correct statement?

MS. BURNS: Yes, sir. With the caveat that it could be arguable that it has already closed.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: Fair enough. Thank you.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: So there's the motion on the floor. Looking for a --

TRUSTEE GOODE: Trustee Goode seconds.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: As long as we are kept
apprised $I$ think we're okay. I think it is the thing to do.

MS. TUCZAK: Has it been moved?
TRUSTEE BLAIR: I think I heard Trustee
Goode.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Trustee Goode?
TRUSTEE GOODE: Trustee Goode seconds the
motion.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee
Blair. Seconded by Trustee Goode.
Can we have a roll call, please, Peggy?
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.

TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The motion passes.
The next item on the agenda is
Administrative Matters.
Gina, could you cover these, what you have on your list, please?

MS. TUCZAK: Certainly. The first item is a request that the Board approve entering into a Change Order with CDW-G, which stands for CDW Government. They have been providing server and workstation endpoint management to the Fund for the last several years.

There is an agreement between CDW Government and the County that kind of governs a lot of the services, but we do have our own Statement of Work for the services that are mentioned.

The Statement of Work expired September of last year and we have operated with a Change Order since then. The Change Order expired. They
have provided a new Change Order that has the same fee structure and goes to September of 2021 for a cost of $\$ 43,596$. This is included in the Budget both for 2020 and it is included in the draft Budget for 2021.

We do continue to need this support and they have continued to provide it during this time period.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Unless there is any questions, could we have a motion to approve?

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: I move.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Second.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Moved by Trustee
Kouruklis. Seconded by Trustee Blair.
Roll call, please.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.

TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Motion passes.
The next item is brought to us by the Executive Director regarding the platform or a platform for our email system.

Gina, could you speak to it, please?
MS. TUCZAK: Certainly. Thank you.

We have a two-page memo that I put together regarding our email exchange server.

The email exchange server as I mentioned at the last meeting has reached end of life. A new system was not budgeted for 2020 but we need to act in short order to get a new platform in place.

There are two options that are to be considered. They are frankly both good options.

The first option is to move our email server into the cloud with the product of Office 365 Enterprise e3 Standard.

I have outlined in this memo the pros and cons of going into the cloud. Would you like me to
provide that, discuss that?
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The pros and cons, yes.

MS. TUCZAK: Okay. In summary, if we are in the cloud, the most significant benefits are that online access to email would be pretty much anywhere a staff or trustee would need to get into the network and get into VPN. There would not be the overhead of a server onsite, that the footprint would not be there. The retention policies would be available to secure the longevity of access.

All the security patches and upgrades would be pushed automatically to the user and the online exchange. To the extent we wanted to add or subtract the scale ability is pretty easily implemented.

Changes are the responsibility of
Microsoft and certainly they have multiple locations by which the data is stored and the security is their responsibility.

As far as the cons, this is a more expensive solution and the annual fees are in perpetuity.

The quoted prices on the license are
valid for one year and then after that we are going to be subject to Microsoft pricing, policies and terms.

Of course, there's a plus that Microsoft is in charge of our security but there is also a con that Microsoft is in charge of our security.

Because the email would be on the cloud and the internet, there is a possibility that other internet functionality, if we don't change the band with, could be slowed just a little bit.

The other thing is that determining the licensing, kind of the right add-on features, trying to find that right size for our fund, you know, that is a little challenging at least in the initial setup.

So that is a summary of the cloud. And on Page 2 you will see the estimated prices that we have received. The license would be approximately $\$ 9600$ for the first year.

It would cost about $\$ 44,000$ for CDW-G to come in here and get the implementation underway and then there would be a continued licensing cost of about $\$ 9,600$ for each year.

We have outlined a 10-year estimate. You
can certainly look at it differently. You could say five years or longer, but for purposes of this analysis we did a 10-year presentation.

We are trying to find the right size for our fund staff and it is possible that these numbers might move a little bit. There is add-ons that they have brought to our attention. We're not sure if we need them or not, but $I$ think that this is a best estimate at this point in time at least to provide an overview of the costs for decision-making purposes.

If we move on to the onsite server, which is what we have in place now, the pros of that are that we maintain full control of all the data storage and the security. It is less expensive. The Fund several years ago put in significant resources into the IT infrastructure so we do have the infrastructure to have an onsite email server.

The initial implementation is a little bit easier than going to the cloud and since the server would be onsite the internet speed would not be compromised, that is what we are setup for now. The internet is not used for emails that the server
is so that is listed as a pro.
In terms of the cons of an onsite server, all the security patches, all the upgrades, are the responsibility of Fund staff or a paid consultant, if staff can't manage the necessary change.

If there is an outage, that would be the responsibility of Fund staff to get that up and running.

Compliance with retention requirements in terms of setup of archiving, configuration, et cetera would all be the responsibility of Fund staff.

If there was a data loss, that would be something that we would be responsible for and when you look at the resources of our fund it certainly would be a usage of resources on the IT infrastructure.

So I have the pricing listed here for Option 2, that would be the purchase of an onsite server. Purchase of the licenses for approximately 35 users. There would be an implementation cost of some of the folks from CDW coming onsite.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: And that is here?
MS. TUCZAK: That is here on the second
page under Option 2.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: So the spread between the cloud, if $I$ can say that, and keeping it in-house is -- the spread is $\$ 31,000$, let's say $\$ 30,000$, over the course of ten years, that is the way this is laid out?

MS. TUCZAK: Yes.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: So that is $\$ 3,000$ a year. I personally think that for $\$ 3,000$ a year then you have Microsoft responsible for security and updating and maintenance and hardware.

So right now we're in the conversation stage $I$ guess and $I$ am opining that $I$ would like $I$ think the cloud.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: This is Trustee Hughes. I have a question for Gina, if you don't mind.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Absolutely, yes, sir, please.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: Gina, does your cost for Option 2 does that include having to replace your server or what would be the replacement plan for that because normally three, four years you would purchase a new one. Is that included in your cost estimate for Option 2?
MS. TUCZAK: Yes, it is. The actual
server itself doesn't cost a lot. It is listed
there as $\$ 2,047$. It is the licenses for 35 users, the 14 grand, that is the bigger cost.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: I guess my point is that in three or four years would you have to upgrade your server or replace it with a new server?

MS. TUCZAK: Thank you. Thank you. I understand.

This assumes no replacement. This assumes that the server purchased, the 2019 server, is used for ten years with no updates.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: I see. Okay. And the second question is some of the cons with regard to Option 2 were related somewhat to disaster recovery. So how are you backing this up now currently or what would be your plans under Option $2 ?$

MS. TUCZAK: Our disaster recovery in place currently is with AIS and that is all in the cloud. Everything on our server is with AIS through their services which are stored in the cloud.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: Okay. Thank you.
$\square$
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Gina, Trustee Ochalla here. Moving a little forward, $I$ know that you had in your Budget the idea of an IT Director. Does a Director of IT change your cost analysis on in-house versus going outside, do you normally do that, based upon hiring someone that has a broader skill set in these types of things or not?

MS. TUCZAK: I don't think it changes it significantly. I do have in Option 2 an estimate of our current staff time, which is about 30 minutes a day. Certainly, if there was a higher level IT person onsite, $I$ would imagine they would spend some of their time on the email system maintenance, but it wouldn't be a significant part of what $I$ would be looking for him or her to do given the fact that $I$ currently have Jodi here that is in the room doing these types of tasks.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Thank you.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: If there was something that we couldn't do onsite, we would have to find a consultant to come in and do the fixes under Option $2 ?$

MS. TUCZAK: Yes, that is correct.
Currently when we need service besides -- this is
our IT Department right here.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: I get it.
MS. TUCZAK: When we have requests that go beyond our skill set, we use AIS and CDW for block hours, which $I$ brought you that last meeting.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: So we don't know that number until we identify what type of problem we have. So if the server crashes for whatever reason, and they do, we may have to come in and their estimate for repair may be something that is not figured into this 110,000 number?

MS. TUCZAK: That's correct.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: And then Option 1,
Microsoft assumes everything because we are in the cloud so that is a final number for ten years?

MS. TUCZAK: Yes, that is correct.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Thank you.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: I have a question. Many times we cooperate with the County Government, Cook County, in terms of obtaining services. Is there any opportunity here? It says that the Cook County Government has chosen the cloud. Is there any opportunity for us to join with that to reduce costs or is that a security issue?

MS. TUCZAK: I have been in contact with the Chief Technology Officer at the County. I have talked to him on two separate occasions about this and he's put me in contact with some of his people. He has provided his thoughts on this. He hasn't offered that we could join with their platform. I don't know if that would be something that would be a security issue or that their arrangement would be a challenge. I'd have to look into that.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: Gina, this is Steve Hughes, Trustee Hughes. I can see where that would be an issue with the County because your employees are not part of their active directory and so it could cause a lot of security issues for them. Although, $I$ don't mean to speak for Tom Lynch, but I can see where that would be an issue.

One thing I did want to add, though, is that when the County went forward with Office 365 , the Forest Preserve was one of the first of the departments and we haven't experienced any problems with it. It has really been a plus from an operational perspective.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. I think we can move for a motion and $I$ will put out the first
motion and if it doesn't go then that's fine. And that would be to go with the cloud element and Microsoft, that is the motion, if someone would move and second.

TRUSTEE HUGHES: This is Trustee Hughes.
I will second that motion.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Or move. Trustee Hughes moves it.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: I will second it.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Seconded by Trustee Blair.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: To move to the cloud, right?

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: To move to go with Microsoft and their cloud platform.

Trustee Kouruklis, we did a motion to end up with the cloud arrangement rather than this server in-house.

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: I mean just from our experience it is whatever everybody is doing. It has become more of a standard now more anyway.

We at our office with do not have a cloud, but we do have a Microsoft out exchange server that we maintain. We are looking to go to
the cloud. 360 as well.
That was our IT people. I was just trying to see where we are going and they said the same thing. Overall you are going to save some money in the long-term on maintenance as you said. TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: And sleep better, I would.

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Yes.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Did you want to say something else?

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: We are incorporating some of our SharePoint items. Some of the things that we are keeping separate in our system and the cloud incorporates all of that. I don't know if you guys looked into that, but there is other benefits that $I$ didn't see on here that the cloud may be allowing for documents to be available online shared through your organization.

MS. TUCZAK: Okay.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: We are ready to have a roll call, please, Peggy.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair. TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.

TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The motion passes.
The next item on the agenda has to do with the updates on legal cases.

MS. BURNS: I think it is the Executive Director Report first, sir.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. Gina, sorry.
MS. TUCZAK: Thank you. With respect to my report a couple matters to mention. The Covid situation. Fund operations continue as we have discussed previously and it seems to be operating effectively and member service continues uninterrupted.

The second item is the staff life
insurance. If you recall at the November meeting, the direction was that Fund staff should work with a broker to secure life insurance for our staff, that is consistent with what has been provided previously when we were under the Cook County Government arrangement.

We worked with Alliance, which is Mesirow Insurance Services, and requested that they serve as broker. We provided them our census data. We explained our needs. They came back to us and there was one carrier willing to do a one time salary benefit for such a small staff of 28 and that is Principal Life Insurance. The price was approximately $\$ 10,400$.

Now there is a salary cap on that and if people desire to purchase voluntary supplemental coverage, depending on the coverage that they want, they may have to fill out a health insurance questionnaire. But this was the option that was most consistent with what the Board had requested. So I sent an email to all of you on November 22 nd about this. And barring no opposition, the documents were signed on November 30 th and the new policy should be in effect December 1st.
$\square$
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Great. Thank you, very much.

MS. TUCZAK: Thank you.
The next item. The State's Attorney's Office pending legal matters. There was a request made at the end of the last meeting for a document.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Inventory.
MS. TUCZAK: That inventories the cases managed by the State's Attorney, that is provided as Exhibit $A$ to this report. They are listed. There are three items that are listed on this report so this is information only.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Thank you.
MS. TUCZAK: The next item is with respect to administrative expenses. You may recall that when $I$ first started here -- oh, by the way, today is my second year anniversary of being here so thank you. Thank you all, all the trustees, for the opportunity to be here.

When I started we said we're not going to pay expenses in advance of the board meeting, unless we absolutely have to. We bring them to you for approval.

What I would like to do in December after
we get through this meeting is that any expenses that come in for the remainder of this month that pertain to 2020 that are budgeted for that we pay them in advance of January 1 st just to avoid any time on accounting accruals for these insignificant matters. We did that last year and I recommend that we continue that practice this year just for the duration of December in the effort to minimize staff time on insignificant matters.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: I'm sorry. I drifted there for a second. So bills that come in in December we are talking about of 2020 , what is the issue?

MS. TUCZAK: We would pay them by the end of the month and then seek for ratification in January, which is a different practice then we do for the rest of the year.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. Great.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: So we can close the year out.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: I think it is not important to not have them wait for something they are entitled to.

MS. TUCZAK: This is just on
administrative expenses.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay.

MS. TUCZAK: Shall $I$ move on to the next item?

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Yes. Sure.

MS. TUCZAK: The Senate Special Committee on Pension Investments. You may recall they had reached out to us that they wanted the emerging investment manager broker dealer utilization information. That was submitted on October $23 r d$ in accordance with their request. They indicated they were going to come back to us on the hearings and we have since had $a$ back and forth on that.

This fund is scheduled for a virtual hearing with this Senate Committee on Friday, December 11 th, beginning at one P.m. I think there is three funds in the lineup that day. We are Number 2.

The participants that are scheduled to testify on behalf of the Fund include myself, the Director of Investments Fernando Vinzons. We have three representatives from Callan that will be participating, including Ron Peyton Callan's Executive Chairman, and then Trustee Wilson has
indicated that he plans to participate as does Trustees Ochalla as Chair of the Investment Committee.

If there is any other Trustees that would like to participate in the testimony, please let me know. You certainly can be added to the docket. Or, if you would like to just view the hearings, let me know. We can also provide you that link as any other member of the public can view and listen. So that is the status of that.

The state of the Fund's report. I had sent that out prior to the November meeting. If there are any comments on this, please let me know. I haven't heard from anyone. I did have a brief conversation with Trustee Kouruklis about this and there is some thought that we could pair this down to something that would be manageable to update on an annual basis.

And the last item is with the intergovernment agreement. We received the last installment for the agreement December, 2019, which was the 31 million so that concludes the prior intergovernment agreement. All funds documented in that agreement have been received.

That concludes my report.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Thank You, Gina.

The next item are the updates regarding the legal cases, please.

MS. BURNS: Thank you, sir.
There are two matters listed on your agenda. They end in 06617 and 06619 . Those are complaints that have been filed against the trustees and the Fund in the Budlove and Salerno matters. You will recall that you made a decision in those matters a couple of months ago in October.

They were filed on November 4 th right after our last board meeting or right before our last board meeting, but not delivered until after the board meeting.

We wanted to apprise you of the filing of those claims. If any trustee would like to see a copy of it, let us know. They are identical, other than different names. One is Budlove and one is Salerno.

It is interesting from other litigations in that here the plaintiffs also sued our hearing officer Mr. Motto. As you know, as our agent, we need to indemnify Mr. Motto and handle his defense
and we have been in communication with him so that he's not concerned about it.

What I did do without your permission but after discussing with the Executive Director is I did tender these cases to the insurance company. The reason $I$ did that on your behalf, and $I$ want to preface this by saying you can withdraw that if you disagree with that action, but the reason $I$ tendered these complaints to the insurance company was, one, if they did include Mr . Motto and we don't really know what Mr . Motto may or may not have done so $I$ didn't want us not necessarily having that liability borne by the Fund.

Two, they went beyond in the complaint a pure administrative review, which is what we normally have, and instead they also added breach of fiduciary duty claims. So then the remedies against you as you know under the Code if you are found guilty of a fiduciary duty you become personally liable.

Three, since the insurance policy you have in place requires prompt notice to the insurance company of any claim that is filed. Since we didn't have the meeting until today and
more than 30 days would have elapsed since when we received the complaint and meeting today, I tendered it to the insurance company after notifying Gina and Peggy but $I$ let them know that you may want me to withdraw that tender, if that's not something you agree with. But it would be my advice that the complaints have been tendered, we tender it and let the insurance company handle this matter in case the attorney's fees are a little larger than what we normally do. And they have indicated to Peggy and $I$ in writing that they have accepted the tender and they are reviewing the file and that Vince and $I$ have a call scheduled with them tomorrow morning at 9:15.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: This is in this
inventory of outstanding case. 15 CV means what?
MS. BURNS: It is a federal court civil
filing.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: 15 is the year?
MS. BURNS: Yes.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Salerno, did that start out in '15, too?

MS. BURNS: Yes.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: This is the end of the
> ones that the State's Attorney started out being responsible for?

MS. BURNS: The federal actions are still pending. The State's Attorney is still involved in that action. That's not resolved, but it is on hold pending on what happens in our normal routine in the Circuit Court of Cook County on administrative review.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: But you are in the mix?

MS. BURNS: We are in the mix and we are going to handle this case by ourselves. Any attorney's fees paid for by the insurance company.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. Can I ask about Cox?

MS. BURNS: Sure. I mean, if you want me to get into any specific analysis I might do it in closed session. If it is a factual question, you can ask it.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: I'm okay. Thank you.
MS. BURNS: Any questions on the Budlove and Salerno matters or any disagreement with advice of counsel to tender to the insurance company?

TRUSTEE BLAIR: Not for me.

TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Not for me.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: No.

MS. BURNS: We will continue to keep you advised of that matter.

The next matter is the Oliphant matter.
You recall last month $I$ advised you that --
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Mary Pat, before we go
forward on this, maybe you and I can have a conversation about this later, if it is not -- I just had a curious question about the revised review of Rule 23 decisions and how it would affect the collateral estoppel argument in these two cases.

MS. BURNS: Okay. I would be happy to do that. I will give you a call.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: Okay.
MS. BURNS: On the Oliphant settlement matter, at the last meeting $I$ gave you the background. I would be happy to repeat it, if you like. You asked me to go back and see if we can settle this matter for $\$ 90,000$. As you recall this woman was overpaid. Not overpaid, excuse me, she was required to pay back her early retirement benefits. She did not do that when she returned to
work. Her contributions were not repaid. She needed to pay a penalty on that. She didn't do it. We sued her. We got judgment against her.

She offered 50. I said you would never take that. She went to 80 . You said 90 . She has agreed to 90. We prepared a settlement agreement, subject to your approval, she executed it.

If you approve it today, Gina will execute it on behalf of the Fund and there is two documents that will need to be -- unless you object, there are two documents that would be signed.

One is the settlement agreement with Ms. Oliphant stating that you are accepting $\$ 90,000$ in full payment of the amount she owed us, which originally was as you know in the amount of $\$ 46,000$, but the rest of it is all interest and costs that you are getting so approximately $\$ 45,000$ in interest and costs.

And then, two, $I$ am going to have to ask Gina to sign a Waiver of Lien because as you will recall $I$ put a lien on her judgment proceeds and $I$ need to release that to get the money from the lawyer to pay you.

I don't think I need a motion on this because it is entirely consistent with what you told me to do or asked me to do last month, but I wanted to apprise you that it has been done and Gina will be executing the waiver and the settlement agreement, which has been provided to Peggy for your files. Any questions on that?

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: No.
MS. BURNS: Okay. Thank you, sir, that completes the legal matters.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Okay. There is a finance matter and update on the RFP for an Independent Certified CPA firm for reviewing our own books. I am just wondering what there is to talk about?

MS. TUCZAK: Shall I discuss briefly?
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Yes, please.
MS. TUCZAK: In summary, the RFP was issued as we had discussed and approved by the Board. We received six responses. We have been evaluating those responses and included in your materials there is a chart similar to what you saw when we did the consultant search for the investments. There is six firms that responded.

They are labeled $A, B, C, D, E, F$ and there is a key afterwards.

The Deputy Director has been reviewing all the responses in detail and what $I$ recommend that we do at this point, because we are in critical time, we have the ability to select a different auditor, if we would like. What I recommend is that the staff move forward. There are two firms that we do not believe meet the qualifications of what is needed to audit this fund.

So what we would like to do, and those reasons are articulated in the documents here, and it primarily has to do with client base and similar organizations such as ours with a 11 billion dollar investment portfolio for Cook County and 200 million for Forest.

I recommend that we take the four remaining firms. That Fund staff virtually have semifinalist interviews with these four remaining firms. And at the January board meeting, which is January 7 th, we would bring to you what we believe our appropriate finalists that would present virtually to you for a final decision in January,
which would allow adequate time, if desired, to transition to a different firm.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: But not four?

MS. TUCZAK: We would not bring four. We would bring the ones that we thought were appropriate as true finalists.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Great. Good. Thank you, that is wonderful.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: Two finalists?

MS. TUCZAK: If that is you would like.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: I came away with the idea however many they feel compelled that we really should look at.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: I heard two. I thought the same thing. Whatever your recommendation is.

MS. TUCZAK: Okay.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: We have a Budget for next year that you would like to discuss. That is something that we would do in closed session?

MS. TUCZAK: Yes.

MS. BURNS: Generally you can only go into closed session to talk specifically about salaries. If you want to just generally layout the plan and then we can go into closed session.

MS. TUCZAK: Certainly. What you have in front of you is the draft Administrative Budget for the year 2021. This goes through in lots of detail the projected expenses by department. In addition, there are the goals and accomplishments of each department. And per the request in prior years of having measurable goals, there are very specific measurable goals listed by department. Each department has several of them and then there is some other goals listed as well.

What I would like to do is, you all of this, $I$ am happy to have in-depth discussions with each trustee to cover the materials or questions that you may have that are specific and we have the entire month of December to do that.

At the January meeting, $I$ would then request, assuming everybody is comfortable and the presented materials acceptable, I would seek to get approval from the Board at the January 7 th meeting for the 2021 Administrative Budget.

What that would mean is that for those first seven days in January until we meet we would operate under the 2020 Administrative Budget.

TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Great.

MS. BURNS: So now, unless there is questions, $I$ think you want to entertain a motion to go into closed session pursuant to Section 2(c)1 of the Open Meetings Act in order to be able to discuss individual salaries and personnel matters. TRUSTEE GOODE: Trustee Goode moves approval.

TRUSTEE BLAIR: Second.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Trustee Goode,
seconded by Trustee Blair, that we go into closed session.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.

TRUSTEE OCHALLA: Aye.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: The motion passes. We
will go into Executive Session.
(Whereupon, the Board went into executive session off the record. No action was taken in Executive Session.)
(It was moved by Trustee Blair and seconded by Trustee Kouruklis that the Executive Session be adjourned and the Board return to an open session.)
(Trustee Hughes commented regarding the downgrading of the Forest Preserve District by the rating agencies. Trustee Hughes wanted the Board to know that downgrading was based in part on the lack of any additional funding for the Forest Preserve District Pension Fund similar to the funding provided to the Cook County Fund and due in part to the lack of a sustained funding policy for the Pension Fund.)

TRUSTEE GOODE: Motion to adjourn.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Second.

MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Blair.
TRUSTEE BLAIR: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Goode.
TRUSTEE GOODE: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Hughes.
TRUSTEE HUGHES: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Kouruklis.
TRUSTEE KOURUKLIS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee McFadden.
TRUSTEE MCFADDEN: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Nevius.
TRUSTEE NEVIUS: Aye.
MS. FAHRENBACH: Trustee Ochalla.
trustee ochalla: Aye.
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| $\begin{aligned} & 79: 3,79: 14,86: 23, \\ & 87: 2,87: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 49:12 | planning [1] - 22:17 <br> plans [2]-70:17, 81:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pricing [2] - 66:2, } \\ & 68: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30:23 } \\ & \text { published [2] - 27:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| paying [1] - 56:18 | percentile [1] - 41 <br> perform [3]-34:4, | platform [5] - 64:8, | primarily [2]-25:24, | $31: 6$ |
| payment [4]-6:23, | $42: 10,43: 13$ | 64:9, 64:17, 73:6, | 89:14 | 1]-24:1 |
| 51:16, 58:16, 87:15 | performance [23] | 4:15 | primary [3] - 40:6, | pummelled [1] - 31:21 |
| payments [2]-6:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pertormance }[23]- \\ & \text { 23:22, 27:13, } 31: 18, \end{aligned}$ | -43 | 0:11, 40:13 | punished [4]-37:11, |
| 57:20 | $34: 16,35: 8,35: 16,$ | [1] | cipal [1] - 77:13 | 38:7, 38:8, 44:20 |
| Payroll ${ }_{[2]}-6: 21,8: 2$ |  | plus [2]-66:4, 73:21 | private [10]-26:7, | purchase [6]-51:15, |


| 7, 68:19, 68:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rather }[4]-46: 20, \\ & 51: 7,59: 20,74: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reduce }[1]-72: 23 \\ & \text { refer }[1]-28: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { request }[8]-5: 3 \text {, } \\ & 51: 21,53: 11,62: 12 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | ```49:10, 49:17, 93:11 returned [10] - 25:1, 25:10, 25:13, 25:17,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $69: 23,77: 16$ |  |  |  |  |
| pure [1]-83:15 | ratification [1] - 79:15 rating [1] - 93:15 | referred ${ }_{[1]}-21: 5$ | 78:5, 80:11, 91:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,25: 13,25: 17, \\ & 25: 23,26: 3,36: 13, \\ & 39: 2,48: 14,86: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| purposes [2] - 67:2 | rea | 12:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requested }[3]-52: 2, \\ & 77: 8,77: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 67:11 | rea | reflecting [1] - 11:24 |  | returns [8]-38:18, |
| pursuant [2]-54:13, 92:3 | $64$ | [2] - 8:6, 9 | requests [2] $-5: 5$, $72: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 19,38: 22,39: 12, \\ & 39: 20,41: 16,42: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| pursue [2]-58:23, | ready [3]-23:19 | - 19:1 | require [1] - 12:20 required [3]-15:22 | 45:6 |
| 59:7 | 51:19, 75:20 | - |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { review }[5]-6: 7,52: 21, \\ 83: 15,85: 8,86: 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| pursuing [2] - 45 | $\begin{gathered} \text { real [12] - 26:7, 26:8, } \\ 27: 3,27: 8,30: 19, \end{gathered}$ | 64:13, 82: | requirement ${ }_{[1]}$ - |  |
| 58:7 |  | 93:13 |  | 83:15, 85:8, 86:11 <br> Review [3]-6:20, |
| shed ${ }_{[1]}-65: 13$ | 30:24, 37:13, 38:5 | REGINA [1] - 2:12 | 14:13 | 22:13, 24:9 |
| put [8]-10:15, 29 | 18, 49:4, 50:6 | REITS $_{[3]}-26: 3,26: 5$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requirements }[1] \text { - } \\ & 68: 9 \end{aligned}$ | reviewed [1] - 16:16 <br> reviewing [3] - 84:12, |
| 64:12, 67:16 |  |  |  |  |
| 73:4, 73:24, 87 | realized [1] - 57:3 | related [1] - 70:15 | requires [1] - 83:22 <br> research [1]-47:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:13, 89:3 } \\ & \text { revised }[1]-86: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| putting [1]-29:4 | reall | relating [2]-20:3 |  |  |
|  |  | re | reserve [1] - 59:21 <br> resolution [1]-59:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { revised }[1]-86: 10 \\ & \text { RFP }_{[3]}-19: 2,88: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 32:14, 42:9, 48: | resolved [1]-85:5 | rights [1]-59:22 |
| qualifications [1] - $89: 10$ | $90: 13$ | relatively [1] - 30:1 | 68:15, 68:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { risk }[3]-36: 18,37: 4, \\ & 46: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| quart | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reason }[4] \\ & 72: 9,83: \end{aligned}$ | release [1] - 87:2 relevant ${ }_{[1]}-5: 4$ |  | risk-on [2] - 36:18, |
| 23:13, 23:22, 24:12, | nable [1] -5:1 | remain [1]-47:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { respect }[10]-24: 13, \\ 25: 6,28: 1,31: 10, \end{gathered}$ | 37:4 |
| 24:14, 24:16, 24:20, | reasons [1]-89:1 | remainder [2] - 46: | 39:1, 41:19, 42:18, <br> 47:6, 76:18, 78:15 | Rizki [1] - 18:2 <br> robust ${ }_{[1]}-25: 8$ |
| 25: | rebound [3]-27:21 | 7 |  |  |
| 25:21, 26:14, 27:10, | 29:21, 31:2 | rem |  | roles [1] - 43:6 |
| 27:20, 28:17, 29 | rebounded | 89:19, 89:20 | responded [1] - 88:24 |  |
| 30:16, 31:7, 31:8 | 22, 28:2 | 50: | responses [3] - 88:20, |  |
| 31:21, 31:22, 32:19, | receive [1]-50:2 | 83:1 | 88:21, 89:4 responsibility [5] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:9, 8:14, 9:16, 11:7, } \\ & \text { 12:18, 12:20, 17:3, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :2, 34:19, 34:24, | received [8] - 3:20, |  |  | 12:18, 12:20, 17:3, 20:12, 21:16, 54:22, |
| 35:2, 36:14, 37:1, | 16:10, 51:17, 66:18, | rep | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 17,65: 20,68: 4, \\ & 68: 7,68: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 61:15, 63:15, 75:21 |
| 37:6, 37:10, 37:24 | 20, 81:24, 84:2, | repay [1] - 58:1 |  | rolled [1]-41:22 |
| 1, 40:5, 41:2 | 88:20 | repeat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { responsible }[3] \text { - } \\ & 68: 14,69: 10,85: 2 \end{aligned}$rest [2] - 79:17, 87:17 | Ron [1] - 80:23 <br> room [3]-3:15, 23:14, |
| $42: 16,42: 20$ $44: 13,44 \cdot 22$ | receiving [1] - 56:11 <br> recently [2] - $3: 10$, $43: 19$ | 86:19 |  |  |
| 1, 44:13, 44:22 |  | repeatedly [2] - 52:10, |  | 71:17 |
| 48:21, 50:8 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rest }[2] \text { - } 79: 17,87: 17 \\ & \text { result }[1]-56: 1 \end{aligned}$ | rosy [1] - 32:10 |
| Quarter [1]-22:13 questionnaire [1] | $\begin{gathered} \text { recommend }[4]-79: 6, \\ 89: 4,89: 8,89: 18 \end{gathered}$ | replace [2] - 69:20 70 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { resulted }[2]-41: 10, \\ & 50: 8 \end{aligned}$ | Rule [1]-86:11 |
| questionnaire [1] - 77:19 | 89:4, 89:8, 89:18 recommendation [7] - | 70:7 | 50:8 |  |
| questions [11] - 26:24, | 19:1, 19:18, 19:19, | replacement [2] - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { results [2] - } 5: 23 \text {, } \\ & 23: 13 \end{aligned}$ | run [1]-39:13 |
| $30: 8,31: 13,39: 6,$ |  | REPORT ${ }_{[1]}-1: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:13 } \\ & \text { resuscitation }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Russell }[9]-32: 19 \\ & 32: 24,33: 6,33: 9 \\ & 33: 14,33: 20,33: 24 \\ & 34: 8,34: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 47:20, 50:22, 63:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:1, 21:7, 54:6, } \\ & 90: 15 \end{aligned}$ | Report [1] - 76:16 report [6] - 51:1 | 29:13 |  |
| 7, 91:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recommendations }[4] \\ & -18: 16,19: 12, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 92:2 quickl |  | 76:19, 78:10, 78:12 | retail ${ }_{[1]}$ - $38: 7$ <br> retention [3]-11:3, |  |
| $4$ | 19.14, 20.6 | 81:11, 82:1 | retention [3]-11:3, $65: 10,68: 9$ |  |
| $4$ | ```recommended [1] - 23:18```record [3]-3:20, 5:7, | reported [1] - 95:9 | retire ${ }_{[1]}$ - 47:15 |  |
| $4: 19$ |  | Reporter [1] - 95:7 <br> reporter ${ }_{[1]}$ - 95:7 | retired [1]-51:14 <br> retirement ${ }_{[1]}-86: 23$ |  |
| quoted [1] - 65:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { record }[3]-3: 20,5: 7, \\ & 93: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | retirement ${ }_{[1]}$ - 86:23 return [34]-24:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S \& P}[8]-28: 16,29: 18, \\ & 32: 4,32: 20,33: 5, \\ & 34: 17,35: 10,35: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | recording ${ }_{[1]}-3: 24$ <br> records [1] - 15:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reporter }[1]-95: 7 \\ & \text { represent }[3]-35: 11 \text {, } \\ & 35: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { return }[34]-24: 21, \\ & 24: 23,25: 7,25: 11, \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | representative [1] - | 25:16, 25:19, 25:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { salaries }[2]-90: 23, \\ & 92: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| raging [1] - 32 | Records [1] -6:2 | 46:23 <br> representatives [2] - | 26:10, 26:12, 26:15, |  |
| range $[1]-50: 3$ | $57: 18,58: 17,59: 20$ |  | 30:11, 30:15, 31:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { salary [2] - 77:12, } \\ & 77: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| ranges [2]-24:19, | $60: 1$ | 5:22, 80:22 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Salerno [4]-82:9, } \\ & \text { 82:20, 84:21, 85:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 39:17 | $\text { recovered }[1]-28: 2$ | representing [1] 59:23 | 38:13, 39:18, 40:3, |  |
| ranking [1] - 41:3 | recovery [4] - 28:2, | represents [3]-32:4, | $12: 1,42: 20,43: 4,$ | save [1] - 75:4 <br> Savings [1] - 20:2 <br> saw [5]-28:7, 28:8, |
| rate [2] - 38:22, 49:6 | 28:19, 70:16, 70:19 |  | 45:1, 48:18, 48:22, |  |
| $1]$ | Recovery [2]-28:23 |  | 8:24, 49:3, 49:8, |  |



| Su | 59:17 | 迷 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 21,21: 23,22: 1, \\ & 22: 3,22: 5,22: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 9:11, 9:14, 9:15, <br> 12:16, 12:17, 17:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 14:6 |  | traffic ${ }_{[1]}-44: 16$ <br> trailed [5]-25:1, |  |  |
| 24:11, 26:24, 27:18, 65:4, 66:16, 88:18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { terms }[7]-28: 23, \\ 32: 13,59: 12,66 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 7:2, 17:20, 18:11, |
| supplementa | 68:2, 68:10, 72:20 | 0:1 | :10 | 21:16, 48:6, 54:15 |
|  |  | trailing [10]-25:1 | 24:5, 24:6, 27:2 | 54:21, 60 |
| -63 | [1] - 81: | 42 | 27:11, 27:15, 48:3, | 61: |
| [1] | -16:18 | 5, 47: | 5:24, 51 | 61:13, 61:14, 63:13, |
| ing ${ }_{[1]}$ | THE [3]-2:9, 94:16, 94:17 | 48:14, 49:19, 50 | 51:9, 52:12, 53:7,$53: 15,53: 21,54: 2,$ | 73:11, 74:5, 74:7, <br> 74:10, 74:16, 80:24, |
| surviving [10] - 55:24, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Training [2] - 13:23, } \\ & 13: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 56:2, 56:5, 56:11, | theme [1] - 45:8 <br> themes [1]-32:16 <br> therefore [1] - 54:8 |  | 54:4, 54:15, 54:17, |  |
| 7, |  | trajectory ${ }_{[1]}-27: 23$transcript $[3]-3: 24$, | 54:19, 54:20, 54:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74:10, 74:16, 80:24, } \\ & \text { 81:15, 92:6, 92:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:15, 57:19 |  |  | 54:24, 55:2, 55:4, <br> 55:6, 55:8, 55:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:10, 93:8, 93:9, } \\ & 93: 13,93: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| [1] - 93:21 |  | $6: 6,95: 11$ |  |  |
| sustaining [2]-29:4 |  |  | 55:12, 55:13, 55:21, | trustee [115]-4:4, 4:6, |
| synonymous |  | transition [1] - 90:2 <br> transmit [1]-16:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 23,59: 7,59: 23, \\ & 60: 6,60: 14,60: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 4:8, 4:10, 4:12, 4:14, <br> 4:16, 7:11, 7:13, |
| system [4] - | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 3,40: 5,41: 1 \\ & 44: 22,48: 9,49: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transmit }_{[1]}-16: 21 \\ & \text { tray }{ }_{[1]}-48: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 60:17, 60:24, 61:1, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { system }[4]-64: 9, \\ & 64: 16,71: 13,75: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 22,48: 9,49: 2, \\ & 50: 13 \end{aligned}$ | Tray[1]-24:2 <br> treasuries [4]-30:14 | 61:3, 61:4, 61:8, <br> 61:10, 61:11, 61:13, <br> 61:17, 61:19, 61:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 15,7: 17,7: 19, \\ & 7: 21,7: 23,8: 15, \\ & 8: 17,8: 19,8: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { treasuries }[4]-30: 14, \\ 36: 23,42: 8,45: 11 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  | treasury [1]-37:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61:17, 61:19, 61:21, } \\ & \text { 61:23, 62:1, 62:3, } \\ & 62: 5,62: 6,63: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 8:23, 9:1, 9:3, 9:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tab [3] - 14:6, 24:8, } \\ & 51: 10 \end{aligned}$ | THIS [1] - 94:18 <br> thoughts [1] - 73:5 <br> thousand [1] - 33:6 | true [2] - 90:6, 95:11 <br> TRUSTEE [288] - 3:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:5, 62:6, 63:9, } \\ & \text { 63:11, 63:12, 63:13, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & : 20,9: 22,9: 24, \\ & 0: 2,10: 4,10: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 51:10 | three [16] - 14:14, |  | 63:17, 63:19, 63:21, | 11:13, 11:15, 11:17, |
| 45:5 | 57:4, 58:9, 58:10, | TRUSTEE [288]-3:1, 4:5, 4:7, 4:9, 4:11 | 63:23, 64:1, 64:3, 64:5, 64:6, 65:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:19, 11:21, 13:3, } \\ & \text { 13:5, 13:7, 13:9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| talks [1]-35:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 14,59: 9,59: 21, \\ & 69: 22,70: 6,78: 11, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 13,4: 15,4: 17, \\ & 4: 18,4: 21,6: 1,6: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| target [2]-24 |  | 6:10, 6:11, 6:16, | 69:15, 69:17, 69:19, | 3:11, 13:13, 13:15, 5:10, 17:4, 17:6, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:22, 70:6, 78:11, } \\ & \text { 80:17, 80:22, 83:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $6: 18,7: 2,7: 4,7: 5$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:8, 17:10, 17:12, } \\ & \text { 17:14, 17:16, 17:18, } \end{aligned}$ |
| targets [3]-24:19 | three-year [3] - 58:9, | 7:6, 7:12, 7:14, 7:16, | $70: 5,70: 13,70: 24$ 71:1, 71:18, 71:19, |  |
| 39:8, 48: | thrilled [1]-16:17 | 7:24, 8:1, 8:7, 8:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:2, 72:6, 72:13, } \\ & 72: 17,72: 18,73: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 19,19: 7,20: 14 \\ & 20: 16,20: 18,20: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| tasks [1]-71:17 |  | 8:11, 8:12, 8:16, |  |  |
| team [1]-46:1 | Thursday [5] - 1:12, $3: 3,3: 7,12: 3,12: 8$ | 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72:17, 72:18, 73:10, } \\ & 73: 23,74: 5,74: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 22,20: 24,21: 2, \\ & 21: 18,21: 20,21: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| ms [1]-23:12 | tied [1] - 27.12 |  | $74: 9,74: 10,74: 12,$ | $21: 24,22: 2,22: 4,$ |
| teams [1]-46:12 | tier [1] - 29:8 TIME ${ }_{[1]}-94: 18$ | $9: 11,9: 13,9: 14$ | 75:8, 75:9, 75:11, | 55:3, 55:5, 55:7, <br> $55 \cdot 9,55 \cdot 11,59 \cdot 24$ |
| technologies [1] 34:1 | TIME [1] - 94:18 <br> timeframe [1] - 46:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 19,9: 21,9: 23, \\ & 10: 1,10: 3,10: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $75: 20,75: 23,76: 1,$ <br> $76: 3,76 \cdot 5,76 \cdot 7$ |  |
| $\text { innology }[1]-73: 2$ | to-date [1] - 45:20 | $10: 7,10: 8,10: 18$ |  |  |
| technology $[7]$ - |  | 10:19, 10:20, 10:21, | 76:3, 76:5, 76:7, | 61:11, 61:16, 61:18, |
| 28:20, 32:2, 3 | today [8]-5:6, 5:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:22, 10:24, 11:8, } \\ & \text { 11:10, 11:12, 11:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 17,78: 1,78: 7, \\ & 78: 13,79: 10,79: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 61:20, 61:22, 61:24,62:2, 62:4, 63:16, |
| 5, 34:12, 34:22 | 83:24, 84:2, 87 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 13,79: 10,79: 18, \\ & 79: 19,79: 21,80: 2, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :1 | today's [1] - 4 . | 1:16, 11:18, 11:20, |  | $3: 18,63: 20,63: 22,$ 3:24, 64:2, 64:4, |
| Templeton [5] - 40:1 | together $[1]-64: 13$ <br> toll [2] - 28:5, 28:12 | 1:22, 11:23, 12:14, | 4:19, 84:21, 84:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 7,75: 22,75: 24, \\ & 6: 2,76: 4,76: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 16, 45:1, 46:7, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:15, 12:16, 12:24, } \\ & 13: 2,13: 4,13: 6, \end{aligned}$ | 85:9, 85:14, 85:20, |  |
| 10 | Tom [1] - 73:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:2, 13:4, 13:6, } \\ & \text { 13:8, 13:10, 13:12, } \end{aligned}$ | 85:24, 86:1, 86:2 | 76:8, 76:10, 82:17, |
| $45: 18,6 ؟$ | tomorrow [1] - 84:14 | 13:8, 13:10, 13:12, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 13,92: 12,92: 14, \\ & 2: 16,92: 18,92: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| 72:15 | took [2] - 24:12, 28:5 <br> top [5] - 30:11, 35:10, | $13: 20,13: 24,14: 3,$ | 88:11, 88:17, 90:3, |  |
| tend [3]-31:7, 35:8, | $35: 21,36: 20,41: 1$ | (1) 11 16:17, 16:23, |  | 2:22, 92:24, 94:1, |
|  |  | 16:24, 17:1, 17:5, | $: 6,92: 8,92: 9$ | $: 9$ |
| tended [1] |  | , | 32:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trustees }[3]-3: 7, \\ & 81: 2,81: 4 \end{aligned}$trustees [8]-3:14, |
| tender [5]-83:5, 84:5, | 26:19, 40:2, 40 | 9, 17:20, 18:6 | 2.23 |  |
| 84:8, 84:12, 85:23 | 49:11 | , 17:20, 18:6 | 93:1, 93:2, 93:23, |  |
| tendered [3]-83:9 | touch [1]-28:2 | :7, 18:14, 18:23 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 15,3: 17,5: 2,5: 13, \\ & 46: 4,78: 18,82: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3, 84:7 | 36: | 19:3, 19:4, 19:9, | $94: 6,94: 8,94: 10$,$94: 12,94: 14$ |  |
| tenths [1]-43:10 | trace [1] - 26:17 | 8, |  | try ${ }_{[3]} \mathbf{- 2 4 : 1 , 4 8 : 1 ,}$ |
| term [8]-31:19, | tracking ${ }_{[1]}-50: 1$ | :21, 20:23, 21:1 | Trustee [50]-6:11 |  |
| 38:18, 43:19, 46 | traded [2]-30:23, | 21:3, 21:4, 21:12, | 6:12, 7:2, 7:5, 7:6, | trying [4]-15:1, |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { 66:13, 67:4, 75:3 } \\ \text { TUCZAK [49]-2:12, } \\ 5: 12,14: 5,15: 19, \\ 18: 1,18: 11,22: 16, \\ \text { 23:6, 23:9, 23:11, } \\ \text { 24:4, 45:23, 47:22, } \\ 51: 2,51: 8,51: 10, \\ 55: 19,55: 22,61: 7, \end{gathered}$ | underperformed [3] 41:23, 48:18, 50:4 underperforming $[2]$ 26:4, 45:20 <br> understood [1] 60:17 <br> underway [1] - 66:21 <br> underweight $[1]$ - $34: 6$ | $\begin{gathered} 39: 19,40: 19,42: 4, \\ 44: 5,44: 10,48: 9 \\ \text { Value }[1]-20: 3 \\ \text { various }[1]-51: 22 \\ \text { versus }[17]-25: 23, \\ 42: 3,42: 21,43: 17, \\ 44: 13,45: 2,45: 3, \\ 45: 21,46: 24,49: 1, \end{gathered}$ | ```18:12, 59:24, 80:24 window [2] - 58:18, 60:18 withdraw [2]-83:7, 84:5 withdrawal [1] - 39:22 withdrawals [2] - 39:21, 39:24``` | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 10,58: 14,62: 16, \\ & 67: 2,67: 16,69: 5, \\ & 69: 22,70: 6,70: 12, \\ & 72: 15,91: 6 \\ & \text { yield }[3]-37: 5,37: 7, \\ & 37: 10 \\ & \text { yourself }[1]-5: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:11, 64:11, 65:4, } \\ & \text { 68:24, 69:7, 70:1, } \end{aligned}$ | underweighted ${ }_{[1]}$ 45:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:8, 49:11, 49:18, } \\ & 49: 22, ~ 49: 24, ~ 52: 14, \end{aligned}$ | woman [1] - 86:22 <br> wonderful [1] - 90:8 | Z |
| 70:8, 70:19, 71:8, | uninterrupted [1] - | 71:5 | wondering [1] - 88:14 | zero [1] - 29:7 |
| 71:23, 72:3, 72:12, | 76:23 | via [1] - $24: 2$ | Woodward [1] - 47:10 |  |
| 72:16, 73:1, 75:19, | universe [6] - 31:5, | Vice [1]-2:3 | words [1] - 33:16 |  |
| 76:18, 78:3, 78:8, 78:14, 79:14, 79:24, 80:3, 80:6, 88:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 22,40: 24,41: 5 \\ & 43: 2 \end{aligned}$ | Vice-President [1] - 2:3 | Workmen's [6] - 56:3, 56:6, 56:10, 56:16, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 88: 18,90: 4,90: 10, \\ & 90: 16,90: 20,91: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:9, 78:22, 87:10, } \\ & 92: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 22 \\ & \text { view }[2]-81: 7,81: 9 \end{aligned}$ | workstation [1] - 62:15 |  |
| turn [8]-27:1, 27:17, | unprecedented [1] - | Vince ${ }_{[1]}$ - 84:13 | World [1] - 29:23 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31: 14,32: 11,39: 7 \\ & 44: 24,47: 19,48: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $28: 9$ | Vinzons [2]-5:16, $80: 21$ | world [1] - 36:5 |  |
| turned [2]-56:23, | 23:2, 24:1, 25:20, | VINZONS $_{[1]}-2: 15$ | write [4]-26:9, 38:13, 42:19, 49:6 |  |
| 56:24 <br> turning [1] - 34:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 17,29: 18,30: 1, \\ & 30: 3,30: 13,30: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { virtual }[2]-14: 20, \\ & 80: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { writing }[3]-53: 8, \\ 53: 11,84: 11 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| turnover $[1]-46: 14$ two [24]-5:21, 25:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 24,31: 1,31: 4, \\ & 31: 9,32: 21,33: 1 \end{aligned}$ | virtually [3] - 5:14, 89:19, $89 \cdot 24$ | written [1]-56:14 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29: 8,30: 20,36: 4 \\ & 37: 15,38: 20,40: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 5,33: 8,33: 9 \\ & 33: 20,35: 14,36: 2, \end{aligned}$ | voice [1] - 6:15 <br> volatile [1] - 34:21 | X |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 43: 5,50: 6,51: 6, \\ & 57: 8,64: 12,64: 18, \\ & 73: 3,82: 6,83: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 8,36: 20,36: 22, \\ & 37: 1,37: 2,37: 8, \\ & 37: 11,39: 13,41: 22, \end{aligned}$ | voluntary ${ }_{[1]}$ - 77:16 vote [1] - 6:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Xponance }[3]-40: 15, \\ & 42: 15,44: 12 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 86:12, 87:9, 87:11, | 44:1, 44:3, 44:8, | VPN [1] - 65:8 | Y |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:14 } \\ & \text { two-page }[1]-64: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 68:7, 70:16, 74:17 } \\ \text { upcoming }[1]-19: 17 \end{gathered}$ | Vullmahn [1] - 5:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { year [53] - 12:7, } 15: 19, \\ 16: 15,16: 19,19: 17, \end{gathered}$ |  |
| type ${ }_{[2]}$ - 57:24, 72:7 <br> types [2]-71:7, 71:17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { update }[3]-60: 3 \text {, } \\ 81: 17,88: 12 \end{gathered}$ | W | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,25: 17,26: 4 \\ & 26: 11,26: 19,29: 19 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| typical [1]-18:7 <br> typically $[1]-10: 10$ | updated [1] - 14:23 <br> updates [3] - 70:12, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wait }[3]-23: 1,58: 16 \text {, } \\ & 79: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 30:13, 31:1, 31:4, 33:4, 33:21, 35:13, |  |
| TYRRELL ${ }_{[2]}-95: 6$, 95:17 | 76:14, 82:3 <br> Updates [3] - 45:22, | Waiver ${ }_{[1]}-87: 21$ waiver [1] - 88:5 | 35:18, 36:11, 36:21, 37:9, 37:11, 40:13, |  |
| U | updating [2] - 59:16, | walk [3]-41:13, 48:4, 48:5 | 44:4, 44:8, 44:16, |  |
| ```U.S[16] - 25:9, 25:10, 25:12, 25:14, 29:20, 29:22, 30:1, 30:3, 30:7, 30:14, 32:12, 33:7, 35:24, 36:3, 36:5, 45:11 uncertainty [1] - 3:8 unclear [1] - 27:24 under [8] - 56:15, 57:1, 69:1, 70:17, 71:21, 77:5, 83:18, 91:23 underperform [1] - 42:9 underperformance [2] - 25:4, 26:1``` | 69:11 | wants [2]-5:6, 53:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:3, 45:16, 45:20, } \\ & \text { 49:9, 50:2, 50:5, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | upgrades [2]-65:12, | warehousing $[1]$ - 38:5 | 50:16, 58:9, 59:9, |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 68:3 } \\ & \text { USA [1] - 29:24 } \end{aligned}$ | webinars [1]-14:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 21,62: 23,66: 1, \\ & 66: 19,66: 23,69: 9, \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\text { usage }[1]-68: 16$ | website [1] - 4:2 <br> WEINSTEIN [1] - 2:16 | 78:17, 79:6, 79:7, |  |
|  | user [1] - 65:13 | welcome ${ }_{[1]}$ - 27:16 | 79:17, 79:19, 84:19, |  |
|  | users [2] - 68:21, 70:3 <br> utilization $[1]-80 \cdot 9$ | WERE ${ }_{[1]}$ - 94:16 | $\begin{gathered} 90: 18,91: 3 \\ \text { year's }[1]-45: 11 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | $\text { Utilization }[1]-19:$ | West [1] - 1:10 | year-to-date [2] - |  |
|  |  | WHICH [1]-94: | 35:13, 35:18 |  |
|  | V | 39:14 | years [28]-12:2, 16:2, |  |
|  |  | William [1] - 50:15 | 37:22, 37:23, 38:21, |  |
|  | value [13]-24:15, | WILLIAM [1] - 2:8 <br> william [1] - 47:8 | 41:3, 43:3, 45:19, |  |
|  | 24:16, 33:15, 33:17, | willing [1] - 77:11 | $46: 17,48: 24,51: 13,$ |  |
|  | 33:21, 34:8, 34:14, | Wilson [4]-10:14, | , 54.11, 57 |  |
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